Table A. Characteristics of Respondents that completed the survey

Characteristic	Weig	hted	Un-weighted		
Category	Number	%	Number	%	
Age	'	1		,	
18 years old	1,901	4.7	562	6.3	
19 years old	5,842	14.4	1,473	16.4	
20 years old	7,065	17.4	1,370	15.3	
21 years old	6,194	15.3	1,439	16.0	
22 years old	5,258	13.0	1,115	12.4	
23 years old	1,918	4.7	491	5.5	
24 years old	1,724	4.3	408	4.5	
25 years or older	10,599	26.2	2,114	23.6	
Student Affiliation	I				
Undergraduate	28,636	70.7	6,247	69.6	
Graduate or Professional	11,865	29.3	2,725	30.4	
Year in school/program	I				
Freshman	2,091	5.2	895	10.0	
Sophomore	6,383	15.8	1,384	15.4	
Junior	7,747	19.1	1,678	18.7	
Senior	12,415	30.7	2,290	25.5	
Graduate or Prof 1st year	3,317	8.2	841	9.4	
Graduate or Prof 2nd year	2,939	7.3	703	7.8	
Graduate or Prof 3rd year	1,769	4.4	403	4.5	
Graduate or Prof 4th year or higher	3,839	9.5	778	8.7	
Year first enrolled in the college or university					
2010 or earlier	6,214	15.3	1,299	14.5	
2011	7,127	17.6	1,445	16.1	
2012	7,882	19.5	1,707	19.0	
2013	9,937	24.5	2,035	22.7	
2014 or 2015	9,341	23.1	2,486	27.7	
Hispanic or Latino?			•		
Yes	2,100	5.2	426	4.7	
No	38,401	94.8	8,546	95.3	

Table A. Characteristics of Respondents that completed the survey (continued)

Characteristic	Weig	ted	Un-wei	ighted
Category	Number	%	Number	%
Race				
White only	31,257	77.2	7,361	82.0
Black only	1,189	2.9	139	1.5
Asian only	5,845	14.4	1,072	11.9
Other/Multi race	2,210	5.5	400	4.5
Gender Identity				
Female	20,394	50.4	5,378	59.9
Male	19,718	48.7	3,511	39.1
Other	390	1.0	83	0.9
Sexual Orientation				
Heterosexual	36,411	90.9	8,089	91.1
Non-Heterosexual	3,627	9.1	786	8.9
Since enrolled in college or university, ho	ave you been in a partn	ered relationship?		
Yes	32,201	79.5	7,150	79.7
No	8,254	20.4	1,811	20.2
Did not answer the question	46	0.1	11	0.1
Have a disability registered with the uni	versity?			
Yes	1,145	2.8	256	2.9
No	39,310	97.1	8,707	97.0
Did not answer the question	46	0.1	9	0.1

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to anOfficial by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

				Female (n=5,378)			Male (n	=3,511)	
Survey Item		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,183)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
If someone were	to report s	sexual assa	ult or sexu	al miscondu	uct to an oj	fficial, how	likely is it t	that		
Students would support the person making the report.										1
Not at all	1.2	0.1	1.0	0.2	1.2	0.3	1.3	0.2	1.5	0.3
A little	8.0	0.3	8.3	0.5	12.3	0.9	6.3	0.5	6.8	0.6
Somewhat	30.7	0.5	32.4	0.8	40.9	1.3	24.1	0.9	31.7	1.2
Very	46.7	0.6	45.7	0.7	37.5	1.3	50.7	1.2	49.5	1.3
Extremely	13.3	0.4	12.6	0.5	8.1	0.7	17.7	0.8	10.5	0.7
The alleged offer	nder(s) or t	heir associa	ates would	retaliate a	gainst the	person ma	king the re	port.		
Not at all	7.5	0.3	5.6	0.4	4.9	0.5	10.4	0.6	8.0	0.7
A little	30.2	0.5	26.4	0.7	26.3	1.0	36.5	1.1	29.1	1.3
Somewhat	42.0	0.5	42.4	0.7	44.7	1.2	39.1	1.1	45.5	1.2
Very	16.6	0.4	20.9	0.6	19.5	1.1	11.7	0.6	14.4	1.2
Extremely	3.7	0.2	4.7	0.3	4.6	0.5	2.2	0.3	3.0	0.5
Campus officials	would take	e the repor	t seriously.							
Not at all	1.6	0.1	1.5	0.2	1.8	0.3	1.6	0.3	1.2	0.3
A little	6.7	0.2	7.5	0.4	9.7	0.8	5.3	0.5	4.6	0.5
Somewhat	20.9	0.4	24.4	0.8	27.6	1.1	14.4	0.8	20.9	1.2
Very	43.4	0.5	44.5	0.7	45.2	1.2	39.7	1.0	47.2	1.4
Extremely	27.4	0.4	22.2	0.6	15.7	1.0	39.0	1.0	26.1	1.2

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to anOfficial by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Female (r	า=5 <i>,</i> 378)			Male (n:	=3,511)		
Survey Item			Total			Graduate orUndergraduateProfessional(n=3,875)(n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,183)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Campus officials	would pro	otect the so	ofety of the	person ma	king the re	port.					
Not at all	2.4	0.2	2.6	0.3	4.1	0.5	1.7	0.3	1.6	0.3	
A little	8.2	0.3	8.9	0.5	13.2	0.8	5.6	0.5	7.4	0.7	
Somewhat	26.9	0.5	29.4	0.6	35.6	1.1	20.5	0.8	27.5	1.2	
Very	39.6	0.6	39.3	0.8	35.9	1.1	39.6	1.1	44.0	1.3	
Extremely	22.8	0.4	19.9	0.7	11.3	0.9	32.6	1.0	19.6	1.0	
Campus officials would conduct a fair investigation.											
Not at all	3.2	0.2	2.4	0.2	2.8	0.4	4.0	0.4	3.1	0.4	
A little	9.2	0.3	9.9	0.5	12.0	0.7	8.3	0.6	6.8	0.6	
Somewhat	33.6	0.4	34.8	0.6	42.1	1.2	27.8	0.9	34.9	1.4	
Very	39.5	0.5	40.1	0.7	35.2	1.2	40.1	1.1	41.6	1.4	
Extremely	14.6	0.3	12.8	0.5	7.9	0.8	19.8	0.7	13.7	0.9	
Campus officials	would tal	ke action a	gainst the c	offender(s).							
Not at all	3.7	0.2	4.8	0.3	5.6	0.6	2.3	0.3	1.7	0.3	
A little	13.9	0.3	16.3	0.6	23.1	1.1	8.7	0.6	10.6	0.9	
Somewhat	36.5	0.5	39.7	0.7	41.6	1.2	29.9	0.9	38.5	1.4	
Very	32.4	0.6	29.7	0.8	23.9	1.3	37.1	1.0	37.2	1.4	
Extremely	13.5	0.3	9.5	0.4	5.8	0.6	22.0	0.8	12.1	0.9	
Campus officials	would tal	ke action to	o address fo	ictors that i	may have l	ed to the s	exual assau	lt or sexual	miscondu	ct.	
Not at all	6.1	0.3	5.2	0.4	9.4	0.8	4.8	0.5	7.1	0.7	
A little	17.1	0.4	17.6	0.6	23.9	0.9	13.1	0.6	18.1	1.0	
Somewhat	37.6	0.5	39.1	0.7	38.1	1.2	35.3	1.0	38.5	1.3	
Very	29.2	0.5	30.1	0.7	22.2	1.1	32.1	0.9	27.8	1.2	
Extremely	10.0	0.3	8.0	0.4	6.4	0.6	14.7	0.7	8.5	0.7	

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to anOfficial by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

	TGQN (n=83)									
Survey Item	Undergr (n=4		Graduate or Professional (n=39)							
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr						
If someone were to report	sexual assault or sexual r	nisconduct to an officia	l, how likely is it that							
Students would support the	e person making the repo	ort.								
Not at all	S	S	-	-						
A little	21.7	6.1	16.3	6.5						
Somewhat	41.7	7.2	42.5	7.4						
Very	23.1	7.6	37.8	7.4						
Extremely	7.6	3.7	S	S						
The alleged offender(s) or t	heir associates would re	taliate against the perso	on making the report.							
Not at all	S	S	S	S						
A little	33.6	7.3	14.8	5.5						
Somewhat	25.2	7.1	52.9	8.5						
Very	21.4	6.4	18.4	5.6						
Extremely	12.9	4.5	8.3	4.1						
Campus officials would tak	e the report seriously.		I							
Not at all	10.2	5.3	7.4	4.1						
A little	17.6	6.0	19.4	5.2						
Somewhat	19.0	5.0	22.9	7.2						
Very	37.4	9.0	40.6	7.9						
Extremely	15.7	6.1	9.7	4.0						

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to an Official by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

	TGQN (n=83)								
Survey Item	Undergra (n=4		Graduate or I (n=3						
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr					
Campus official would pr	otect the safety of the pe	erson making the repor	t.						
Not at all	13.7	6.7	11.5	4.7					
A little	13.4	5.6	20.4	5.9					
Somewhat	25.2	6.3	33.7	6.9					
Very	34.3	8.7	30.0	7.9					
Extremely	13.5	5.3	S	S					
Campus officials would co	onduct a fair investigatio	n.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Not at all	18.9	6.0	9.3	4.3					
A little	16.3	5.6	21.0	6.0					
Somewhat	38.6	7.2	50.9	7.7					
Very	19.5	6.1	18.8	6.9					
Extremely	S	S	-	-					
Campus officials would to	ake action against the of	fender(s).							
Not at all	14.2	6.7	14.6	5.0					
A little	32.1	6.9	31.3	7.6					
Somewhat	38.3	7.6	47.4	7.8					
Very	10.3	5.1	6.7	3.4					
Extremely	S	S	-	-					
Campus officials would to	ake action to address fac	tors that may have led	to the sexual assault or sex	ual misconduct.					
Not at all	26.0	8.1	21.5	6.6					
A little	19.1	5.6	26.7	6.8					
Somewhat	34.5	7.7	40.3	7.0					
Very	13.5	6.1	11.5	7.4					
Extremely	6.9	3.5	-	_					

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	Тс	Total		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		iate or ssional ,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Have you been in any of the following situations? If so, w	vhat did yo	u do?		,	,					
Suspected a friend was sexually assaulted										
Yes	19.6	0.4	27.4	0.7	13.5	0.8	18.3	0.8	9.3	0.7
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	10.7	0.8	11.0	1.0	11.0	2.1	10.1	1.6	10.9	2.7
Did nothing for another reason	21.9	1.1	18.1	1.2	20.1	2.9	27.3	2.2	27.6	4.3
Spoke to my friend or someone else to seek help	57.4	1.3	63.2	1.6	60.4	3.8	49.5	2.3	49.7	5.0
Took action in another way	10.0	0.7	7.7	0.7	8.4	1.6	13.2	1.6	11.7	2.5
No	80.4	0.4	72.6	0.7	86.5	0.8	81.7	0.8	90.7	0.7
Witnessed drunk person heading for sexual encounter										
Yes	53.2	0.5	58.4	0.9	39.2	1.4	60.4	0.9	38.6	1.5
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	22.5	0.6	26.2	1.2	28.1	1.7	16.9	0.9	22.7	1.7
Did nothing for another reason	55.0	0.6	44.6	1.1	51.8	1.9	64.4	1.3	63.6	1.9
Directly intervened to stop it	9.0	0.4	12.4	0.8	8.2	1.1	6.6	0.5	5.6	1.1
Spoke to someone else to seek help	5.9	0.3	8.0	0.6	4.7	0.9	4.8	0.6	2.7	0.8
Took action in another way	7.6	0.3	8.7	0.6	7.1	1.0	7.3	0.6	5.4	1.1
No	46.8	0.5	41.6	0.9	60.8	1.4	39.6	0.9	61.4	1.5

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Female (n=5,378)				Male (n=3,511)			
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profe	uate or ssional .,183)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Witnessed someone acting in sexually violent or harassing	manner										
Yes	23.3	0.4	28.4	0.8	21.8	1.1	22.6	0.8	13.9	0.9	
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	22.2	0.8	24.6	1.2	25.9	2.1	19.1	1.7	16.5	2.8	
Did nothing for another reason	30.4	0.9	27.4	1.2	29.1	2.2	32.9	2.0	36.7	3.4	
Directly intervened to stop it	21.7	0.8	21.2	1.0	15.8	2.0	23.2	1.5	28.1	3.1	
Spoke to someone else to seek help	12.1	0.7	15.2	1.1	12.8	2.0	9.4	1.2	7.3	2.2	
Took action in another way	13.5	0.8	11.6	1.0	16.5	2.4	15.5	1.6	11.4	2.2	
No	76.7	0.4	71.6	0.8	78.2	1.1	77.4	0.8	86.1	0.9	

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

	TGQN (n=83)						
Survey Item		raduate -44)	Graduate or Professional (n=39)				
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr			
Have you been in any of the following situations? If so, what did	you do?						
Suspected a friend was sexually assaulted			1				
Yes	43.2	8.2	16.9	5.2			
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	12.9	7.2	-	-			
Did nothing for another reason	33.4	14.7	-	-			
Spoke to my friend or someone else to seek help	37.2	12.8	64.9	21.9			
Took action in another way	S	S	S	S			
No	56.8	8.2	83.1	5.2			
Witnessed drunk person heading for sexual encounter	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·				
Yes	49.9	7.8	48.7	8.4			
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	22.7	9.9	33.4	11.3			
Did nothing for another reason	60.2	12.1	36.9	8.5			
Directly intervened to stop it	S	S	-	-			
Spoke to someone else to seek help	S	S	S	S			
Took action in another way	-	-	19.3	7.5			
No	50.1	7.8	51.3	8.4			

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

		TGQN (n=83)								
Survey Item		raduate =44)	Graduate or Professional (n=39)							
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr						
Witnessed someone acting in sexually violent or harassing manner										
Yes	38.3	9.0	34.2	6.6						
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	36.5	14.7	S	S						
Did nothing for another reason	35.3	18.7	37.7	12.3						
Directly intervened to stop it	S	S	30.6	13.1						
Spoke to someone else to seek help	S	s	-	-						
Took action in another way	S	S	21.3	10.1						
No	61.7	9.0	65.8	6.6						

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 1.3. Perceptions Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender andEnrollment Status^{1,2}

				Female (า=5,378)			Male (n	=3,511)	
Survey Item	Total		Graduate or Undergraduate Professional Total (n=3,875) (n=1,503)		sional	Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,183)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Sexual assault or	sexual mi	sconduct a	problem a	t university		-			-	
Not at all	4.7	0.2	1.7	0.2	4.7	0.4	5.6	0.4	9.9	0.8
A little	20.8	0.4	14.8	0.5	16.7	0.9	27.7	0.8	24.5	1.1
Somewhat	44.7	0.5	47.2	0.9	45.2	1.3	43.4	1.0	42.1	1.2
Very	24.1	0.4	29.5	0.7	25.7	1.0	19.3	0.9	18.9	1.0
Extremely	5.7	0.3	6.7	0.4	7.7	0.7	4.0	0.4	4.6	0.6
Likelihood of exp	eriencing	sexual asso	ult or sexu	al miscond	uct on cam	pus				
Not at all	45.5	0.5	17.3	0.5	30.8	1.1	68.6	1.1	77.4	1.1
A little	30.9	0.5	38.6	0.7	44.2	1.2	22.7	0.9	18.7	1.1
Somewhat	16.7	0.3	30.4	0.7	19.3	1.0	6.4	0.5	3.2	0.5
Very	5.2	0.2	10.4	0.5	4.0	0.4	1.9	0.3	0.6	0.2
Extremely	1.7	0.1	3.3	0.3	1.7	0.3	0.4	0.1	S	S
Likelihood of exp	eriencing	sexual asso	ault or sexu	al miscond	uct off car	ipus at uni	versity-spo	nsored ever	nts	
Not at all	46.0	0.5	22.4	0.6	32.1	1.0	65.6	1.1	73.7	1.1
A little	32.7	0.5	40.2	0.8	41.8	1.1	25.6	1.0	22.0	1.1
Somewhat	16.5	0.3	28.2	0.6	21.4	1.0	7.3	0.5	3.5	0.4
Very	4.0	0.2	7.9	0.3	3.7	0.4	1.2	0.3	0.7	0.2
Extremely	0.8	0.1	1.4	0.2	0.9	0.2	0.3	0.1	S	S

Table 1.3. Perceptions Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

	TGQN (n=83)									
Survey Item		raduate 44)	Graduate or Professional (n=39)							
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr						
Sexual assault or sexual i	misconduct a problem at universit	у								
Not at all	9.1	4.8	-	-						
A little	12.0	4.6	9.4	4.8						
Somewhat	25.9	6.4	30.0	9.1						
Very	32.9	6.2	42.9	8.2						
Extremely	20.1	5.7	17.7	5.3						
Likelihood of experiencin	g sexual assault or sexual miscond	duct on campus								
Not at all	27.1	6.7	22.2	7.1						
A little	23.2	6.5	44.1	8.4						
Somewhat	34.3	6.4	15.3	5.5						
Very	10.9	5.6	9.6	4.3						
Extremely	S	S	8.8	4.2						
Likelihood of experiencin	g sexual assault or sexual miscond	duct off campus at university-spon	sored events							
Not at all	28.7	6.4	19.6	6.9						
A little	26.2	6.0	51.7	7.9						
Somewhat	32.3	6.4	20.8	6.2						
Very	11.3	4.4	S	S						
Extremely	S	S	S	S						

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status¹

				Female (n	=5,378)		Male (n=3,511)			
Survey Item	Undergraduate Profe		Profe	uate or ssional .,503)						
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Awareness of services										
Aware of services provided by Dean of Students Office	46.0	0.4	43.9	0.7	37.5	1.3	53.1	0.9	43.6	1.1
Aware of services provided by Madison Police Department	73.2	0.4	75.0	0.6	64.0	1.2	78.2	0.8	67.0	1.2
Aware of services provided by Office for Equity and Diversity/Title IX Coordinator	14.6	0.3	11.9	0.5	17.7	1.0	14.4	0.6	18.0	1.1
Aware of services provided by Rape Crisis Center	57.5	0.4	70.3	0.7	47.1	1.0	58.1	0.9	34.1	1.3
Aware of services provided by Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner Program/Meriter Hospital	29.6	0.4	39.1	0.7	21.5	1.0	28.9	0.8	15.7	1.1
Aware of services provided by UHS Clinical Medicine	56.5	0.5	65.7	0.7	39.4	1.2	61.5	1.0	39.8	1.4
Aware of services provided by UHS End Violence on Campus	29.1	0.4	36.9	0.5	14.3	0.7	33.9	0.9	13.1	0.9
Aware of services provided by UHS Mental Health Services	68.9	0.4	79.8	0.5	65.7	1.2	66.2	0.7	51.4	1.5
Aware of services provided by University of Wisconsin-Madison Police Department	74.5	0.3	78.6	0.5	63.3	1.2	78.8	0.7	66.0	1.2

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status¹ (continued)

				Female (n=5,378)			Male (r	n=3,511)	
Survey Item	Total			raduate ,875)	Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)			Undergraduate (n=2,328)		uate or ssional ,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	% StdErr % StdErr %		StdErr	%	StdErr		
Knowledgeable about how	ı sexual assau	lt and sexual	misconduct a	lefined at univ	versity					
Not at all	10.4	0.3	6.9	0.3	18.7	1.0	8.4	0.5	16.2	1.1
A little bit	25.7	0.4	24.0	0.6	31.9	1.2	22.4	0.7	31.4	1.2
Somewhat	36.5	0.5	36.9	0.7	34.1	1.1	37.8	1.0	35.2	1.4
Very	21.4	0.5	24.2	0.7	12.5	0.7	25.1	0.9	14.3	1.1
Extremely	6.0	0.2	7.9	0.4	2.8	0.4	6.3	0.5	2.9	0.5
Knowledgeable about whe	ere to get help	at university	if student or	friend experie	ence sexual as	sault or sexu	al misconduct			
Not at all	6.5	0.2	3.1	0.3	10.8	0.8	6.3	0.5	11.5	0.9
A little bit	21.6	0.5	17.4	0.6	30.7	1.0	18.5	0.8	30.6	1.3
Somewhat	37.2	0.5	36.8	0.7	36.4	1.2	38.5	0.9	36.4	1.3
Very	27.0	0.4	33.0	0.7	17.1	1.0	28.9	0.9	16.9	1.0
Extremely	7.6	0.2	9.6	0.3	5.0	0.4	7.8	0.5	4.6	0.6
Knowledgeable about whe	ere to make a	report if stude	ent or friend o	experience se	xual assault o	or sexual misc	onduct at uni	versity		
Not at all	12.3	0.3	11.1	0.5	20.1	0.9	9.5	0.6	14.2	0.9
A little bit	23.6	0.4	21.6	0.6	28.4	1.2	21.1	0.9	29.5	1.1
Somewhat	34.4	0.5	36.8	0.8	32.6	1.0	33.8	1.0	31.5	1.1
Very	22.9	0.5	23.5	0.6	14.7	0.7	27.5	0.9	19.0	1.0
Extremely	6.8	0.3	7.1	0.4	4.2	0.4	8.1	0.6	5.8	0.7

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status¹ (continued)

				Female (n=5,378)			Male (n	=3,511)			
Survey Item	То	Total		Total		graduate Profes		uate or ssional ,503)		raduate ,328)	Profe	uate or ssional ,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Knowledgeable about what happens when	a student rep	oorts sexual	assault or s	exual misco	onduct at ui	niversity						
Not at all	28.7	0.5	26.9	0.6	38.6	1.2	23.3	0.8	35.7	1.6		
A little bit	30.4	0.4	30.8	0.7	31.0	0.9	29.9	0.8	30.7	1.2		
Somewhat	27.9	0.4	28.6	0.7	23.3	1.0	31.6	0.8	22.6	1.1		
Very	9.8	0.3	10.3	0.5	5.9	0.5	11.5	0.6	8.1	0.7		
Extremely	3.2	0.2	3.4	0.3	1.2	0.3	3.7	0.4	2.9	0.5		
Initial university orientation included inform	nation about	sexual assa	ult or sexua	l misconduc	ct							
Yes	49.2	0.9	60.0	1.4	14.0	1.6	62.0	1.7	24.0	2.2		
Not at all	5.7	0.8	5.1	1.1	-	-	6.5	1.3	3.5	1.7		
A little	18.7	0.9	19.9	1.3	30.3	5.7	15.6	1.4	19.4	4.3		
Somewhat	47.4	1.5	44.1	2.0	53.1	6.4	52.3	2.3	43.7	5.1		
Very	24.9	1.1	26.5	1.6	14.8	5.7	23.2	1.7	30.3	4.1		
Extremely	3.3	0.4	4.4	0.7	s	s	2.4	0.6	S	S		
No	14.1	0.7	8.3	0.9	34.4	2.5	7.6	1.0	25.5	2.2		
I didn't attend orientation	8.3	0.5	2.0	0.4	22.1	1.9	4.1	0.8	20.5	2.2		
I don't remember	28.4	0.8	29.7	1.5	29.5	2.2	26.2	1.3	30.0	2.5		

Table 3.1a. Percent of Undergraduate Female Students Experiencing NonconsensualPenetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, CurrentYear vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item	Curren	t School	Year	Since En	itering Co	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	2,282	15.2	0.5	4,145	27.6	0.7
Penetration	668	4.4	0.3	1,889	12.6	0.5
Physical force only	293	2.0	0.2	919	6.1	0.4
Completed	152	1.0	0.2	499	3.3	0.3
Attempted	169	1.1	0.2	598	4.0	0.3
Incapacitation only	374	2.5	0.2	1,027	6.8	0.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	77	0.5	0.1	261	1.7	0.2
Sexual Touching	1,921	12.8	0.5	3,263	21.7	0.6
Physical force only	1,352	9.0	0.4	2,251	15.0	0.5
Incapacitation only	709	4.7	0.3	1,360	9.0	0.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	114	0.8	0.1	237	1.6	0.2

Table 3.1b. Percent of Graduate Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetrationor Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs.Since Entering College and Enrollment Status1

Survey Item	Current	: School	Year	Since Er	itering Co	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	203	3.8	0.4	648	12.1	0.8
Penetration	64	1.2	0.3	264	4.9	0.5
Physical force only	36	0.7	0.2	113	2.1	0.4
Completed	18	0.3	0.1	72	1.3	0.3
Attempted	18	0.3	0.1	51	1.0	0.2
Incapacitation only	25	0.5	0.2	129	2.4	0.4
Both physical force and incapacitation	S	s	S	37	0.7	0.2
Sexual Touching	157	2.9	0.3	471	8.8	0.8
Physical force only	112	2.1	0.3	348	6.5	0.6
Incapacitation only	43	0.8	0.2	145	2.7	0.4
Both physical force and incapacitation	13	0.2	0.1	22	0.4	0.1

Table 3.1c. Percent of Undergraduate Male Students Experiencing NonconsensualPenetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, CurrentYear vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status1

Survey Item	Current	: School	Year	Since En	tering C	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	353	2.6	0.3	717	5.4	0.4
Penetration	155	1.2	0.2	300	2.2	0.3
Physical force only	53	0.4	0.1	104	0.8	0.2
Completed	31	0.2	0.1	62	0.5	0.1
Attempted	23	0.2	0.1	47	0.4	0.1
Incapacitation only	92	0.7	0.1	195	1.5	0.2
Both physical force and incapacitation	S	s	S	29	0.2	0.1
Sexual Touching	260	1.9	0.2	538	4.0	0.3
Physical force only	177	1.3	0.2	357	2.7	0.3
Incapacitation only	99	0.7	0.1	202	1.5	0.2
Both physical force and incapacitation	S	s	S	20	0.1	0.1

Table 3.1d. Percent of Graduate Male Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item	Current	School	Year	Since En	tering C	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	57	0.9	0.3	182	2.9	0.4
Penetration	20	0.3	0.2	67	1.1	0.3
Physical force only	20	0.3	0.2	41	0.6	0.2
Completed	20	0.3	0.2	33	0.5	0.2
Attempted	S	S	S	27	0.4	0.2
Incapacitation only	S	s	S	40	0.6	0.2
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching	52	0.8	0.3	132	2.1	0.4
Physical force only	39	0.6	0.2	87	1.4	0.3
Incapacitation only	24	0.4	0.2	51	0.8	0.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	S	s	s

Table 3.1e. Percent of Undergraduate Students of Other Gender ExperiencingNonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation byTactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status1

Survey Item	Curren	t School	Year	Since Er	itering Co	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	36	16.4	5.1	79	35.7	7.6
Penetration	15	6.7	3.9	33	14.9	4.6
Physical force only	S	S	S	20	9.1	4.1
Completed	S	S	s	S	s	s
Attempted	S	s	s	11	5.1	2.4
Incapacitation only	S	s	S	9	4.2	2.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	S	S	S
Sexual Touching	27	12.4	3.8	63	28.4	7.9
Physical force only	15	6.7	2.9	51	23.0	8.2
Incapacitation only	13	5.7	2.6	16	7.3	2.9
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3.1f. Percent of Graduate Students of Other Gender Experiencing NonconsensualPenetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, CurrentYear vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item	Current	t School	Year	Since Er	itering Co	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	12	6.8	3.8	34	20.3	6.6
Penetration	-	-	-	15	8.8	4.4
Physical force only	-	-	-	S	s	S
Completed	-	-	-	S	S	S
Attempted	-	-	-	-	-	-
Incapacitation only	-	-	-	S	S	S
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-
	I			1		
Sexual Touching	12	6.8	3.8	19	11.5	4.5
Physical force only	S	S	S	10	5.7	3.0
Incapacitation only	S	S	S	S	S	S
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	S	s	S

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3}

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	Graduate orUndergraduateProfessionalTotal(n=3,875)(n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,183)					
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Sexual orientation										
Heterosexual	13.3	0.4	26.3	0.7	11.9	0.8	4.5	0.4	2.1	0.4
Non-Heterosexual	24.6	1.1	43.2	2.6	13.5	2.2	15.6	2.5	11.7	2.6
Ethnicity										
Hispanic	14.2	1.7	30.4	3.6	9.1	2.7	6.1	2.7	s	s
Not Hispanic	14.4	0.3	27.4	0.7	12.3	0.8	5.3	0.4	2.9	0.4
Race					1					
American Indian or Alaska Native	23.7	2.8	46.2	5.8	29.7	7.6	S	S	14.1	6.5
Asian	8.1	0.7	17.6	1.6	7.7	1.5	1.8	0.9	3.5	1.0
Black or African American	13.5	1.8	30.6	4.6	6.9	3.2	4.7	2.3	s	s
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	17.3	5.1	47.2	12.2	-	-	S	S	-	-
White	15.5	0.4	28.9	0.8	13.6	0.9	5.9	0.5	2.6	0.5
Disability										
Yes	28.3	2.9	45.5	4.1	26.2	5.9	5.0	2.5	-	-
No	13.9	0.3	26.9	0.7	11.7	0.8	5.4	0.4	2.9	0.4
Marital status					1					-
Never married	8.7	0.6	-	-	14.1	1.2	-	-	3.9	0.7
Not married but living with a partner	5.8	1.0	-	-	10.0	1.7	-	-	S	S
Married	4.9	0.7	-	-	8.8	1.2	-	-	1.9	0.7
Divorced or separated	9.6	3.6	-	-	13.2	5.3	-	-	-	-
Other	12.7	4.0	-	-	19.7	6.4	-	-	-	-

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,875)	Profes	iate or ssional ,503)	Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profes	iate or ssional ,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Year in School and Tir	ning of	Incidents								
Undergraduate										
Current year										
Freshman	12.0	0.9	20.2	1.5	-	-	3.0	0.9	-	-
Sophomore	11.8	0.7	19.1	1.2	-	-	2.9	0.7	-	-
Junior	9.1	0.6	14.0	0.9	-	-	3.1	0.6	-	-
Senior	7.8	0.5	13.0	0.9	-	-	2.2	0.4	-	-
Since entering co	llege									
Freshman	12.0	0.9	20.2	1.5	-	-	3.0	0.9	-	-
Sophomore	15.8	0.8	25.0	1.3	-	-	4.4	0.8	-	-
Junior	17.2	0.8	27.4	1.3	-	-	4.9	0.7	-	-
Senior	19.0	0.7	30.5	1.3	-	-	6.5	0.7	-	-

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	8,511)	
Survey Item	т	Undergraduate Total (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,183)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Graduate/Professional										
Current year										
1st year	2.8	0.5	-	-	4.8	0.7	-	-	1.0	0.5
2nd year	1.6	0.4	-	-	2.0	0.5	-	-	1.3	0.7
3rd year	4.1	0.9	-	-	7.2	1.6	-	-	S	S
4th year	1.9	0.9	-	-	3.3	1.3	-	-	S	S
5th year	2.1	0.8	-	-	3.4	1.5	-	-	S	S
6th year or higher	s	s	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Since entering college										
1st year	4.8	0.6	-	-	9.2	1.0	-	-	1.0	0.5
2nd year	7.5	0.9	-	-	12.2	1.6	-	-	3.3	1.0
3rd year	8.4	1.2	-	-	13.2	2.1	-	-	3.5	1.2
4th year	10.0	1.5	-	-	16.8	2.7	-	-	4.4	1.5
5th year	8.6	1.7	-	-	14.5	3.5	-	-	3.8	1.6
6th year or higher	7.8	1.4	-	-	11.0	2.4	-	-	3.5	1.5

¹ Since enrolled in the college. Per 100 students.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3}

				Rap	e			Sexual Battery		
Survey Item	т	otal	Underg	raduate		ate or ssional	Underg	raduate		uate or ssional
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Sexual orientation										
Heterosexual	22.6	0.6	12.0	0.5	5.1	0.6	20.6	0.6	8.6	0.7
Non-Heterosexual	33.2	1.9	20.7	2.3	4.5	1.5	35.1	2.5	9.5	2.0
Ethnicity										
Hispanic	23.3	2.6	11.8	2.4	s	s	25.9	3.6	8.3	2.6
Not Hispanic	23.5	0.6	12.6	0.5	5.2	0.6	21.5	0.6	8.8	0.7
Race										
American Indian or Alaska Native	40.1	4.4	13.3	4.0	12.5	5.1	42.0	6.3	20.7	7.2
Asian	14.0	1.2	6.2	1.1	2.8	0.8	15.2	1.5	5.9	1.4
Black or African American	21.0	2.9	12.8	3.3	s	s	23.0	4.4	6.9	3.2
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	36.7	10.4	19.9	9.3	-	-	47.2	12.2	-	-
White	25.4	0.6	13.5	0.6	5.6	0.7	22.5	0.6	9.8	0.8
Disability								•		
Yes	41.4	3.9	22.7	3.3	18.0	5.2	37.4	4.0	8.2	3.8
No	22.8	0.6	12.2	0.5	4.5	0.5	21.1	0.6	8.8	0.8
Marital status					1				1	
Never married	14.1	1.2	-	-	5.1	0.7	-	-	11.0	1.1
Not married but living with a partner	10.0	1.7	-	-	4.9	1.1	-	-	6.2	1.4
Married	8.8	1.2	-	-	3.9	0.9	-	-	5.9	1.1
Divorced or separated	13.2	5.3	-	-	13.2	5.3	-	-	s	s
Other	19.7	6.4	-	-	s	S	-	-	16.2	5.9

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

				Rap	e			Sexual B	attery	
Survey Item	т	otal	Underg	raduate		iate or ssional	Underg	raduate		uate or ssional
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Year in School and Ti	ming of	Incidents								
Undergraduate										
Current year										
Freshman	20.2	1.5	6.7	1.1	-	-	16.4	1.4	-	-
Sophomore	19.1	1.2	6.7	0.7	-	-	15.4	1.0	-	-
Junior	14.0	0.9	4.0	0.6	-	-	11.8	0.8	-	-
Senior	13.0	0.9	3.1	0.5	-	-	11.4	0.9	-	-
Since entering co	ollege									
Freshman	20.2	1.5	6.8	1.2	-	-	16.8	1.4	-	-
Sophomore	25.0	1.3	10.9	1.0	-	-	19.3	1.0	-	-
Junior	27.4	1.3	12.3	1.1	-	-	20.6	1.1	-	-
Senior	30.5	1.3	14.7	0.9	-	-	24.7	1.1	-	-
Graduate/Profess	ional									
Current year										
1st year	4.8	0.7	-	-	1.4	0.5	-	-	4.2	0.7
2nd year	2.0	0.5	-	-	0.9	0.4	-	-	1.3	0.4
3rd year	7.2	1.6	-	-	1.9	0.7	-	-	5.3	1.3
4th year	3.3	1.3	-	-	s	S	-	-	2.3	1.0
5th year	3.4	1.5	-	-	s	S	-	-	2.7	1.4
6th year	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	s

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

			Rape					Sexual Battery			
Survey Item	т	otal	Underg	raduate		ate or sional	Underg	raduate		ate or sional	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Since entering college											
1st year	9.2	1.0	-	-	3.2	0.6	-	-	7.2	1.0	
2nd year	12.2	1.6	-	-	6.2	1.0	-	-	8.2	1.4	
3rd year	13.2	2.1	-	-	5.1	1.2	-	-	9.7	1.9	
4th year	16.8	2.7	-	-	7.7	2.2	-	-	12.0	2.2	
5th year	14.5	3.5	-	-	5.0	1.8	-	-	11.3	3.3	
6th year	11.0	2.4	-	-	3.5	1.3	-	-	7.5	1.9	

¹Since enrolled in the college. Per 100 students.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

Table 3.4a. Number of Times Females Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Enrollment Status for the Current year and Since Entering College^{1, 2}

Survey Item	Total			raduate ,875)	Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Current school year							
Number of times							
0 times	96.4	0.2	95.6	0.3	98.8	0.3	
1 time	2.5	0.2	3.1	0.3	0.6	0.2	
2 times	0.8	0.1	0.9	0.1	0.5	0.2	
3 times	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	-	-	
4 or more times	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.1	S	S	
Since entering college			'				
Number of times							
0 times	89.4	0.4	87.4	0.5	95.1	0.5	
1 time	6.2	0.3	7.1	0.4	3.6	0.4	
2 times	2.5	0.2	3.1	0.3	0.9	0.2	
3 times	1.0	0.1	1.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	
4 or more times	0.9	0.1	1.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	

¹Per 100 students.

²Includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force or c) by incapacitation.

Table 3.4b. Number of Times Students Reported Nonconsensual Sexual Touching by Physical Force or Incapacitation by Current School Year or Since Entering Current College by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)			
Survey Item	т	Total						Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		iate or ssional ,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Current school year												
Number of times												
0 times	94.0	0.2	87.3	0.5	97.1	0.3	98.1	0.2	99.2	0.3		
1 time	3.1	0.1	6.4	0.4	1.6	0.3	1.0	0.2	0.4	0.2		
2 times	1.5	0.1	3.0	0.3	0.9	0.2	0.7	0.1	s	S		
3 times	0.7	0.1	1.8	0.2	0.2	0.1	S	S	s	S		
4 or more times	0.7	0.1	1.5	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	s	S		
Since entering college												
Number of times												
0 times	89.0	0.3	78.4	0.6	91.3	0.7	96.0	0.3	97.9	0.4		
1 time	4.0	0.2	7.3	0.4	3.8	0.4	1.8	0.3	1.0	0.3		
2 times	3.1	0.2	5.7	0.4	2.7	0.4	1.3	0.2	0.5	0.2		
3 times	1.6	0.1	3.6	0.3	0.9	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1		
4 or more times	2.3	0.1	5.0	0.3	1.4	0.3	0.7	0.2	0.3	0.2		

¹Per 100 students.

²Includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force or b) by incapacitation.

Table 3.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Forceor Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	By	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Time - occurred during academic break					
No incidents occurred during academic break	73.4	2.5	79.8	2.3	
Some incidents occurred during academic break	15.2	2.1	8.4	1.6	
All incidents occurred during academic break	11.4	2.0	11.8	2.2	
Location				·	
Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?					
Yes	49.1	2.6	46.4	2.6	
No	50.9	2.6	53.6	2.6	
On university property	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
University residence hall/dorm	53.5	3.8	47.0	4.4	
Fraternity or Sorority house	24.4	3.8	28.4	4.1	
Other space used by single-sex org	-	-	S	S	
Other residential housing	23.7	3.7	27.0	3.7	
Non-residential building	10.9	2.5	14.0	2.9	
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	18.4	2.8	4.3	1.7	
Not on university property		·		·	
Private residence	81.6	3.5	89.5	2.8	
Fraternity or Sorority house	7.9	1.9	5.1	2.0	
Other space used by single-sex org	S	S	-	-	
Restaurant, bar, or club	17.3	3.0	8.6	2.3	
Other social venue	7.9	2.1	S	S	
Outdoor or recreational space	6.0	2.0	S	S	
Some other place	4.7	1.5	4.3	1.9	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.5b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	By I	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Time - occurred during academic break				·	
No incidents occurred during academic break	79.7	1.8	82.7	2.2	
Some incidents occurred during academic break	13.8	1.3	10.5	1.6	
All incidents occurred during academic break	6.5	0.9	6.9	1.4	
Location			'		
Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?					
Yes	42.9	2.2	39.5	2.8	
No	57.1	2.2	60.5	2.8	
On university property			'		
University residence hall/dorm	31.5	2.9	41.7	3.8	
Fraternity or Sorority house	27.6	3.0	36.9	4.4	
Other space used by single-sex org	S	S	-	-	
Other residential housing	21.4	2.6	33.0	3.8	
Non-residential building	22.9	2.8	13.9	2.9	
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	30.9	2.7	15.2	2.9	
Not on university property			'		
Private residence	57.3	2.3	74.3	2.9	
Fraternity or Sorority house	12.7	1.6	9.7	1.8	
Other space used by single-sex org	S	S	-	-	
Restaurant, bar, or club	42.8	2.2	33.8	3.0	
Other social venue	12.3	1.5	3.8	1.5	
Outdoor or recreational space	11.3	1.7	3.2	1.2	
Some other place	6.8	1.3	5.7	1.4	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force. ³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.5c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving PhysicalForce or Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	By I	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Time - occurred during academic break		·			
No incidents occurred during academic break	78.2	5.8	77.2	7.0	
Some incidents occurred during academic break	14.1	4.8	11.0	4.8	
All incidents occurred during academic break	7.7	3.7	11.7	6.3	
Location			1		
Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?					
Yes	20.7	4.1	36.2	8.1	
No	79.3	4.1	63.8	8.1	
On university property					
University residence hall/dorm	44.3	16.5	80.9	9.0	
Fraternity or Sorority house	-	-	23.2	10.6	
Other space used by single-sex org	-	-	-	-	
Other residential housing	S	S	S	S	
Non-residential building	S	S	-	-	
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	31.8	12.7	S	S	
Not on university property					
Private residence	54.0	6.5	79.0	8.5	
Fraternity or Sorority house	10.3	5.0	11.5	6.5	
Other space used by single-sex org	-	-	-	-	
Restaurant, bar, or club	45.9	6.3	46.3	11.3	
Other social venue	7.1	3.7	-	-	
Outdoor or recreational space	S	S	-	-	
Some other place	12.9	4.2	-	-	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force. ³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.6a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Offender characteristics					
Gender					
Female	1.7	0.6	1.8	0.8	
Male	98.8	0.5	99.1	0.6	
Other gender identity	-	-	-	-	
Number of offenders			1		
1 offender	71.5	2.8	73.1	2.7	
2 offenders	18.1	2.2	21.3	2.4	
3 or more offenders	10.4	1.7	5.6	1.6	
University affiliation			,		
Student	73.8	2.4	77.6	2.5	
Faculty or instructor	s	S	-	-	
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-	
Other staff or administrator	S	S	-	-	
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	1.5	0.8	S	S	
The person was not affiliated with university	10.5	1.5	9.4	1.6	
Don't know association with university	26.5	2.9	21.8	2.4	
Relationship to victim					
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	18.0	2.3	20.8	2.4	
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	12.5	1.8	12.4	1.8	
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-	
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	3.6	0.9	3.0	1.1	
Friend or acquaintance	60.0	2.6	61.3	2.7	
Stranger	32.9	2.9	21.9	2.0	
Other	4.1	1.1	2.6	0.8	
Don't Know	s	S	1.0	0.5	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.6b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving PhysicalForce or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Offender characteristics					
Gender					
Female	2.7	0.8	1.8	0.8	
Male	98.7	0.4	98.7	0.7	
Other gender identity	-	-	-	-	
Number of offenders			1		
1 offender	64.6	1.7	63.8	2.4	
2 offenders	20.0	1.4	23.5	2.1	
3 or more offenders	15.4	1.3	12.7	1.5	
University affiliation		1	1		
Student	74.4	1.4	79.4	2.1	
Faculty or instructor	1.0	0.4	-	-	
Coach or trainer	s	S	-	-	
Other staff or administrator	0.6	0.3	-	-	
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	1.4	0.5	S	S	
The person was not affiliated with university	24.1	1.5	15.1	1.7	
Don't know association with university	17.8	1.4	16.4	2.1	
Relationship to victim					
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	7.7	1.1	9.3	1.4	
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	5.6	0.8	5.9	1.3	
Teacher or advisor	S	S	S	S	
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	3.2	0.6	1.0	0.5	
Friend or acquaintance	39.4	1.8	51.8	2.6	
Stranger	58.7	1.7	48.3	2.5	
Other	1.8	0.6	1.7	0.7	
Don't Know	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.6c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving PhysicalForce or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Offender characteristics					
Gender					
Female	64.0	6.1	81.5	6.4	
Male	41.3	6.1	23.8	7.3	
Other gender identity	-	-	-	-	
Number of offenders					
1 offender	75.9	4.1	66.4	7.8	
2 offenders	14.2	3.7	21.7	6.5	
3 or more offenders	9.9	3.1	11.9	5.3	
University affiliation			1	•	
Student	82.2	4.2	84.9	6.5	
Faculty or instructor	-	-	-	-	
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-	
Other staff or administrator	S	S	-	-	
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	S	S	-	-	
The person was not affiliated with university	17.8	4.3	12.8	5.3	
Don't know association with university	12.5	3.6	13.2	6.3	
Relationship to victim					
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	8.5	3.1	12.3	4.7	
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	16.8	5.0	13.8	6.1	
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-	
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	S	S	S	S	
Friend or acquaintance	39.8	5.6	66.0	6.5	
Stranger	50.7	6.1	31.1	8.4	
Other	S	S	-	-	
Don't Know	6.4	3.2	-	-	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.7a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	Ву	Force	By Incar	pacitation
<i>Survey Item</i> Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Involvement of Substances				
Offender drinking alcohol				
Yes	76.1	2.3	88.0	1.9
No	16.9	2.2	5.3	1.4
Don't know	7.0	1.6	6.7	1.4
Offender using drugs	1	i		1
Yes	9.9	1.9	8.9	1.5
No	46.4	3.2	49.1	2.8
Don't know	43.6	2.9	42.0	2.7
Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol				1
Yes	74.6	2.3	95.0	1.3
No	25.4	2.3	5.0	1.3
Victim voluntarily using drugs		•		
Yes	3.6	1.0	7.0	1.4
No	96.4	1.0	93.0	1.4
Victim given alcohol or drugs without kn	owledge or consent	•		
Yes, I am certain	3.0	1.2	2.4	0.8
I suspect, but I am not certain	7.9	1.5	10.5	2.1
No	81.9	2.5	75.8	2.8
Don't know	7.1	1.5	11.4	1.6
Victim passed out for all or part of incide	ent	- ·	1	
Yes	18.0	2.3	32.5	2.6
No	69.3	3.1	41.6	2.7
Not sure	12.7	2.1	25.9	2.5

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.7b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Involvement of Substances					
Offender drinking alcohol					
Yes	69.6	1.9	87.3	1.4	
No	11.0	1.2	4.0	1.0	
Don't know	19.3	1.6	8.7	1.3	
Offender using drugs		·	·		
Yes	5.5	1.0	6.2	1.3	
No	33.2	1.9	39.2	2.6	
Don't know	61.3	2.0	54.6	2.9	
Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol			·		
Yes	69.0	1.7	91.8	1.4	
No	31.0	1.7	8.2	1.4	
Victim voluntarily using drugs		·	·		
Yes	3.5	0.6	4.8	1.2	
No	96.5	0.6	95.2	1.2	
Victim given alcohol or drugs without kn	owledge or consent	·			
Yes, I am certain	0.6	0.3	1.2	0.6	
I suspect, but I am not certain	1.6	0.5	3.7	0.9	
No	94.2	0.8	88.0	1.7	
Don't know	3.6	0.7	7.1	1.4	
Victim passed out for all or part of incide	nt		·	·	
Yes	4.1	0.9	12.1	1.8	
No	89.8	1.3	75.9	2.3	
Not sure	6.2	1.1	12.0	1.8	

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.7c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving PhysicalForce or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Involvement of Substances					
Offender drinking alcohol					
Yes	68.3	5.1	84.1	5.4	
No	16.1	5.1	7.5	3.8	
Don't know	15.7	4.0	8.4	4.4	
Offender using drugs		-	1		
Yes	4.9	2.2	s	S	
No	44.1	6.6	59.1	8.1	
Don't know	51.0	6.6	35.6	7.8	
Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·		
Yes	74.1	4.5	94.3	3.5	
No	25.9	4.5	S	S	
Victim voluntarily using drugs	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·		
Yes	9.6	4.1	S	S	
No	90.4	4.1	94.3	3.6	
Victim given alcohol or drugs without kn	owledge or consent	·	·		
Yes, I am certain	S	S	S	S	
I suspect, but I am not certain	S	S	S	S	
No	90.8	2.9	93.2	3.5	
Don't know	5.4	2.5	S	S	
Victim passed out for all or part of incide	ent			·	
Yes	5.4	3.5	22.2	8.6	
No	85.3	4.9	65.2	8.7	
Not sure	9.3	3.7	12.6	5.5	

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.8a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
<i>Survey Item</i> Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Consequences					
Physical					
Physical injuries	18.9	1.9	4.6	1.2	
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	57.0	6.9	36.8	17.0	
Chipped or knocked out teeth	S	S	-	-	
Broken bones	_	-	-	-	
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	55.2	6.4	72.6	17.0	
Other injuries	9.0	3.2	-	-	
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	3.7	1.0	1.9	0.8	
Become pregnant from the experience	1.4	0.7	-	-	
None of the above	76.9	2.3	93.1	1.5	
			1		
Emotional				_	
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	58.3	2.7	34.7	2.8	
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	49.5	3.2	19.0	2.2	
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	40.1	2.9	17.7	2.4	
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	34.8	3.1	16.4	2.2	
Feeling numb or detached	50.3	3.0	30.7	2.9	
Headaches or stomach aches	22.6	2.7	11.4	1.7	
Eating problems or disorders	18.8	2.4	9.4	1.8	
Increased drug or alcohol use	21.9	2.5	13.5	2.3	
None of the above	20.7	2.0	45.6	3.1	

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.8b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving PhysicalForce or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	Ву	Force	By Incap	acitation
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Consequences		•		
Physical				
Physical injuries	2.6	0.5	S	s
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	81.2	9.4	-	-
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-
Broken bones	-	-	-	-
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	S	s	S	s
Other injuries	s	s	s	s
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	s	S	s	s
Become pregnant from the experience	-	-	-	-
None of the above	96.6	0.6	97.2	0.9
Emotional				
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	17.4	1.4	14.4	1.8
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	22.6	1.4	9.5	1.7
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	9.9	1.2	6.2	1.4
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	9.0	1.1	5.4	1.3
Feeling numb or detached	15.2	1.4	12.9	1.9
Headaches or stomach aches	5.8	1.0	5.6	1.2
Eating problems or disorders	4.8	0.8	3.5	0.9
Increased drug or alcohol use	5.1	0.9	2.5	0.8
None of the above	58.4	1.7	66.9	2.5

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.8c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving PhysicalForce or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	Ву	Force	By Incap	acitation
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Consequences				
Physical				
Physical injuries	S	S	-	-
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	S	S	-	-
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-
Broken bones	-	-	-	-
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	S	S	-	-
Other injuries	-	-	-	-
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	-	-	-	-
Become pregnant from the experience	-	-	-	-
None of the above	96.6	2.2	100.0	0.0
Emotional		1	1	1
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	20.3	5.1	10.5	4.4
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	7.1	2.9	S	S
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	15.6	4.0	S	S
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	S	S	8.4	4.3
Feeling numb or detached	9.0	3.2	13.3	5.2
Headaches or stomach aches	3.7	2.0	-	-
Eating problems or disorders	5.9	2.7	S	S
Increased drug or alcohol use	5.4	2.4	9.5	4.9
None of the above	72.5	5.5	76.1	6.5

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.9a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

		Pen	etration			Sexua	l Touchin	Ig	
	Ву	Force		By Incapacitation		By Force		By Incapacitation	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Report of incident to a Program									
Reported to a Program									
Yes	26.1	2.2	9.6	1.5	7.1	0.9	1.9	0.7	
No	73.9	2.2	90.4	1.5	92.9	0.9	98.1	0.7	
Did not know where to go or who to tell Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	10.4 36.9	2.0 2.9	7.5 26.6	1.3 2.7	5.7 13.3	1.0 1.4	5.9 8.9	1.3 1.6	
	36.9	2.9	26.6	2.7	13.3	1.4	8.9	1.6	
I did not think anyone would believe me	11.9	2.7	8.3	1.9	3.8	0.7	2.1	0.8	
I did not think it was serious enough to report	59.8	3.2	67.4	2.8	76.0	1.7	78.1	2.5	
I did not want the person to get into trouble	29.0	2.8	27.8	3.0	10.0	1.3	14.6	1.9	
I feared negative social consequences	29.2	2.8	23.3	2.5	10.3	1.2	10.8	1.7	
I did not think anything would be done	28.3	3.4	21.2	2.6	23.3	1.5	14.5	1.9	
I feared it would not be kept confidential	18.6	3.0	11.6	1.9	5.6	0.9	5.9	1.3	
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	3.5	1.2	3.4	1.0	1.6	0.5	1.8	0.7	
Incident did not occur while attending school	23.3	2.6	17.8	2.5	15.5	1.5	10.8	1.8	
Other Reason	12.5	2.0	19.9	2.4	12.2	1.4	12.5	1.9	

	Penetration					Sexual Touching				
	Ву	By Force By Incapacitation		Ву	Force	By Incapacitation				
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Report to others										
Friend	83.5	1.9	78.8	2.5	78.6	1.5	78.9	2.0		
Family member	26.4	2.1	10.6	1.7	13.8	1.2	8.7	1.4		
Faculty	5.5	1.1	1.0	0.6	1.3	0.4	S	S		
Someone else	9.4	1.7	3.7	1.0	4.6	0.8	3.2	0.9		
l didn't tell anyone else	14.2	1.9	17.7	2.2	21.4	1.4	19.3	2.1		

¹ Per 100 victims.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

Table 3.9b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation Reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Report of incident to a Program					
Reported to a program					
Inapplicable	-	-	-	-	
Νο	94.3	2.8	100	0.0	
Yes	5.7	2.8	-	-	
Did not contact a program		·			
Did not know where to go or who to tell	6.1	2.8	S	S	
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	9.8	3.6	7.0	4.4	
I did not think anyone would believe me	S	S	-	-	
I did not think anything would be done	19.1	4.7	8.7	4.6	
I did not think it was serious enough to report	73.8	5.6	79.5	6.3	
I did not want the person to get into trouble	13.9	4.3	S	S	
l feared it would not be kept confidential	8.0	3.3	S	S	
I feared negative social consequences	15.0	4.4	9.5	4.6	
Incident did not occur while attending school	10.9	3.5	12.9	5.1	
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	S	S	-	-	
Other Reason	18.1	3.4	18.1	5.7	
Report to others					
Friend	66.3	6.9	70.5	6.2	
Family member	4.5	2.3	S	S	
Faculty	-	-	-	-	
Someone else	-	-	S	s	
l didn't tell anyone else	33.7	6.9	29.5	6.2	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force. ³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

	Dean of St	udents Office		r Equity and e IX Coordinator	Housefellow or Residence Life Staff	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	20.1	3.4	3.2	1.4	11.9	2.2
When contacted					1	-
Fall of 2014 - present	62.5	7.7	58.1	20.6	40.3	10.6
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	28.6	7.6	S	S	23.6	9.7
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	31.8	10.2
Prior to Fall 2012	S	S	-	-	S	S
How useful					1	-
Not at all	27.5	11.8	S	S	-	-
A little	S	S	-	-	S	S
Somewhat	27.2	9.5	S	S	S	S
Very	29.8	9.7	S	S	S	S
Extremely	S	S	-	-	29.9	17.9
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014					1	,
Pressure from university on whether to proceed						
Yes	38.9	12.0	S	S	S	S
To proceed	55.6	27.7	-	-	S	S
Not to proceed	S	S	S	S	S	S
No	61.1	12.0	S	S	79.4	13.6

	Dean of Stu	Dean of Students Office		Equity and IX Coordinator	Housefellow or Residence Life Staff	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	20.1	3.4	3.2	1.4	11.9	2.2
Program showed respect towards victim						
Excellent	32.7	11.9	-	-	72.6	18.4
Very good	18.5	8.0	S	S	S	S
Good	15.4	6.3	S	S	S	S
Fair	S	S	-	-	S	S
Poor	27.5	11.8	S	S	-	-
Helped to understand options	'		'		·	
Excellent	16.8	7.7	S	S	39.4	19.1
Very good	28.4	9.8	-	-	38.7	19.1
Good	17.7	9.1	S	S	S	s
Fair	19.9	8.3	-	-	-	-
Poor	S	S	S	S	-	-

		of Wisconsin- ice Department	Madison Poli	ce Department	Non- or Off-Campus Agency	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	9.5	2.5	11.3	2.4	13.3	2.6
When contacted	'		1		1	
Fall of 2014 - present	32.7	13.4	40.0	11.5	65.9	10.6
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	30.2	13.8	25.4	12.6	21.3	8.9
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	20.3	8.8	S	S	-	-
Prior to Fall 2012	16.8	9.4	22.5	9.2	S	S
How useful	'		1		1	
Not at all	S	S	S	S	S	S
A little	-	-	S	S	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	S	S	43.1	13.1
Very	-	-	S	S	21.8	10.8
Extremely	59.5	39.1	S	S	31.0	13.0
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014		-	1		1	
Pressure from university on whether to proc	eed					
Yes	S	S	60.0	19.9	S	S
To proceed	-	-	S	S	S	S
Not to proceed	S	S	S	S	-	-
No	82.7	16.7	40.0	19.9	91.6	7.8

	University of Wisconsin- Madison Police Department		Madison Poli	ce Department	Non- or Off-Campus Agency	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	9.5	2.5	11.3	2.4	13.3	2.6
Program showed respect towards victim						
Excellent	59.5	39.1	51.0	20.5	67.3	13.7
Very good	-	-	S	S	35.4	13.1
Good	-	-	S	S	S	S
Fair	S	S	S	S	-	-
Poor	S	S	S	S	-	-
Helped to understand options	1		1		1	
Excellent	47.7	47.0	s	S	30.6	12.8
Very good	-	-	S	s	50.1	13.7
Good	-	-	S	S	S	S
Fair	-	-	S	S	S	S
Poor	S	S	s	S	-	-

	UHS Clinic	al Medicine	UHS End Viole	ence on Campus	UHS Mental H	lealth Services
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	20.5	3.1	9.3	2.3	53.2	3.4
When contacted					'	
Fall of 2014 - present	50.2	8.2	100.0	0.0	47.6	6.0
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	40.1	9.2	-	-	36.0	6.1
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	-	-	13.4	4.3
Prior to Fall 2012	S	S	-	-	3.0	1.5
How useful		·			'	
Not at all	-	-	S	S	S	S
A little	S	S	S	S	22.8	6.7
Somewhat	36.0	14.3	-	-	12.8	4.4
Very	45.1	13.9	S	S	45.7	8.8
Extremely	S	S	65.8	20.9	17.6	6.8
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014				-	1	•
Pressure from university on whether to proceed						
Yes	-	-	S	S	13.1	5.2
To proceed	-	-	S	S	100.0	0.0
Not to proceed	-	-	-	-	-	-
No	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	86.9	5.2

	UHS Clinica	al Medicine	UHS End Viole	nce on Campus	UHS Mental Health Services		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Report of incident to a Program	20.5	3.1	9.3	2.3	53.2	3.4	
Program showed respect towards victim	'		,		'		
Excellent	52.7	15.0	65.8	20.9	57.2	7.4	
Very good	S	S	S	S	22.1	6.4	
Good	32.6	15.3	S	S	9.0	4.7	
Fair	S	S	S	S	11.7	5.3	
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Helped to understand options	1		1		1		
Excellent	43.0	14.4	77.6	20.9	39.8	7.2	
Very good	S	S	-	-	26.6	6.7	
Good	32.6	15.3	S	S	8.2	4.4	
Fair	S	S	S	S	17.6	7.0	
Poor	-	-	-	-	S	S	

	University fac	ulty, TA, or staff
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	17.0	2.6
When contacted		
Fall of 2014 - present	46.0	11.9
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	28.5	12.1
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	19.7	9.7
Prior to Fall 2012	S	S
How useful		
Not at all	-	-
A little	S	S
Somewhat	S	S
Very	33.4	16.3
Extremely	S	S
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014		
Pressure from university on whether to proceed		
Yes	35.9	16.7
To proceed	100.0	0.0
Not to proceed	-	-
No	64.1	16.7

	University fac	culty, TA, or staff
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	17.0	2.6
Program showed respect towards victim		
Excellent	51.5	17.4
Very good	S	S
Good	S	S
Fair	-	-
Poor	S	S
Helped to understand options		
Excellent	38.8	16.7
Very good	-	-
Good	S	S
Fair	35.9	16.7
Poor	S	S

¹ Per 100 victims.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

Table 4.1 Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College, Gender and Enrollment Status¹

				Female (n	=5,378)		Male (n=3,511)				
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,183)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Current school year										,	
Coercion	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	S	S	-	-	S	s	
Penetration	0.0	0.0	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	
Sexual touching	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	-	-	S	s	
Absence of affirmative consent	3.9	0.2	7.8	0.4	2.4	0.3	1.4	0.3	0.9	0.2	
Penetration	1.5	0.1	3.1	0.2	0.9	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.2	
Sexual touching	2.8	0.2	5.6	0.4	1.6	0.3	1.2	0.2	0.6	0.2	
Since entering college									1		
Coercion	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.1	S	S	S	S	
Penetration	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	s	S	S	S	S	s	
Sexual touching	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	-	-	S	S	
Absence of affirmative consent	7.0	0.2	13.5	0.5	6.5	0.6	2.4	0.4	1.5	0.3	
Penetration	2.8	0.1	5.6	0.3	2.6	0.3	0.6	0.1	0.6	0.2	
Sexual touching	5.2	0.2	10.2	0.5	4.2	0.5	2.0	0.3	1.3	0.3	

Table 4.1 Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College, Gender and Enrollment Status¹ (continued)

		TGQN (n=	-83)		
Survey Item		raduate -44)	Graduate or Professiona (n=39)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Current school year					
Coercion	-	-	-	-	
Penetration	-	-	-	-	
Sexual touching	-	-	-	-	
Absence of affirmative consent	8.3	4.0	-	-	
Penetration	S	S	-	-	
Sexual touching	S	S	-	-	
Since entering college					
Coercion	-	-	-	-	
Penetration	-	-	-	-	
Sexual touching	-	-	-	-	
Absence of affirmative consent	11.7	4.2	-	-	
Penetration	7.5	4.0	-	-	
Sexual touching	6.2	3.1	-	-	

¹Per 100 students.

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2}

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	Т	otal		raduate ,875)	Profes	iate or ssional ,503)		raduate ,328)	Graduate or Professional (n=1,183)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Current school year										
Coercion										
Penetration										
0 times	100	0.0	100	0.0	100	0.0	100	0.0	99.9	0.1
1 time	0.0	0.0	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 times	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	s
3 times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching										
0 times	99.9	0.0	99.9	0.0	99.8	0.1	100	0.0	99.9	0.1
1 time	0.0	0.0	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
2 times	0.0	0.0	S	S	-	-	-	-	s	s
3 times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	0.0	0.0	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Without affirmative con	sent				1					
Penetration										
0 times	98.5	0.1	96.9	0.2	99.1	0.2	99.7	0.1	99.6	0.2
1 time	1.0	0.1	2.0	0.2	0.8	0.2	0.3	0.1	s	s
2 times	0.3	0.1	0.7	0.1	s	s	S	S	s	s
3 times	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	-	-	-	-	s	s
4 or more times	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	-	-	-	-	s	s

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,875)	Profes	iate or ssional ,503)	Underg (n=2		Profes	iate or ssional ,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Without affirmative con	sent									
Sexual Touching										
0 times	97.2	0.2	94.4	0.4	98.4	0.3	98.8	0.2	99.4	0.2
1 time	1.7	0.1	3.4	0.3	1.0	0.2	0.8	0.2	0.3	0.1
2 times	0.7	0.1	1.5	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	S	S
3 times	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	S	S
4 or more times	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.1	S	s	S	S
Since entering college					1	,		1	1	
Coercion										
Penetration										
0 times	99.9	0.0	99.8	0.1	99.9	0.1	100	0.0	99.8	0.1
1 time	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	S	S	-	-	-	-
2 times	0.0	0.0	S	s	-	-	-	-	S	S
3 times	0.0	0.0	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	0.0	0.0	S	S	-	-	S	s	S	S
Sexual Touching					1				1	,
0 times	99.9	0.0	99.8	0.1	99.7	0.1	100	0.0	99.9	0.1
1 time	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	S	S	-	-	-	-
2 times	0.0	0.0	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 times	0.0	0.0	S	S	s	S	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	0.0	0.0	-	-	S	s	-	-	S	S

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n:	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profes	iate or ssional ,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Without affirmative con	sent									
Penetration										
0 times	97.3	0.1	94.4	0.3	97.4	0.3	99.4	0.1	99.4	0.2
1 time	1.6	0.1	3.4	0.2	1.6	0.3	0.4	0.1	s	s
2 times	0.7	0.1	1.5	0.2	0.6	0.2	S	S	s	s
3 times	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.1	S	s	S	S	0.3	0.2
4 or more times	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.1	S	S	S	s
Sexual Touching										
0 times	94.8	0.2	89.8	0.5	95.8	0.5	98.0	0.3	98.7	0.3
1 time	2.6	0.2	5.1	0.4	1.8	0.4	1.3	0.3	0.6	0.2
2 times	1.5	0.1	3.1	0.2	1.2	0.2	0.4	0.1	S	s
3 times	0.5	0.1	1.0	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1
4 or more times	0.6	0.1	1.1	0.2	0.8	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1

¹Per 100 students.

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence ofAffirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	т	otal		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		uate or ssional .,503)	Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profe	uate or ssional I,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Sexual orientation										
Heterosexual	6.4	0.3	12.7	0.5	6.3	0.6	2.0	0.4	0.8	0.2
Non-Heterosexual	12.8	1.0	24.1	2.3	7.3	1.9	6.6	1.6	9.5	2.7
Ethnicity										
Hispanic	6.5	1.2	14.3	2.6	6.1	2.4	S	S	-	-
Not Hispanic	7.0	0.2	13.5	0.5	6.6	0.6	2.3	0.4	1.6	0.3
Race										
American Indian or Alaska Native	9.6	2.3	13.4	4.0	15.7	7.0	S	S	S	S
Asian	2.9	0.4	5.4	1.1	4.2	1.2	S	S	S	S
Black or African American	3.1	0.9	5.7	2.6	4.8	2.5	-	-	S	S
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	5.8	3.0	20.5	10.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
White	7.9	0.3	14.8	0.5	7.2	0.7	2.6	0.4	1.7	0.4
Disability										
Yes	14.6	2.0	23.9	3.2	12.8	4.8	S	S	-	-
No	6.8	0.3	13.1	0.5	6.4	0.6	2.3	0.4	1.5	0.3

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence ofAffirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Female (n	=5,378)		Male (n=3,511)					
Survey Item	1	「otal		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		uate or ssional 1,183)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Never married	4.6	0.5	-	-	8.0	0.8	-	-	1.9	0.5		
Not married but living with a partner	2.9	0.7	-	-	4.3	1.2	-	-	S	S		
Married	2.3	0.5	-	-	4.4	1.0	-	-	S	S		
Divorced or separated	4.1	2.6	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-		
Other	8.8	3.9	-	-	10.5	5.1	-	-	S	s		
Current year Freshman	4.0	0.5	6.6	0.9	-	_	1.1	0.5	-	-		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4.0	0.5	6.6	0.0			1 1	0.5				
Sophomore	5.2	0.5	8.9	0.9	-	-	0.8	0.3	-	-		
Junior	5.4	0.5	8.7	0.8	-	-	1.5	0.5	-	-		
Senior	4.5	0.3	6.9	0.5	-	-	1.7	0.4	-	-		
Since entering college												
Freshman	4.0	0.5	6.6	0.9	-	-	1.1	0.5	-	-		
	6.7	0.6	11.4	1.1	_	-	1.0	0.4	_	1		
Sophomore	6.7	0.0	11.4	1.1		1		0.4		-		
Sophomore Junior	8.6	0.6	13.9	0.9	-	-	2.3	0.7	-	-		

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Female (n:	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	,	「otal		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		ate or ssional ,503)	Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profe	uate or ssional .,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Graduate/Professional										
Current year										
1st	1.5	0.3	-	-	2.7	0.5	-	-	S	s
2nd	1.3	0.4	-	-	1.3	0.5	-	-	1.3	0.6
3rd	1.9	0.5	-	-	2.7	0.8	-	-	S	s
4th	1.4	0.7	-	-	S	s	-	-	S	s
5th	2.3	0.8	-	-	5.2	1.8	-	-	-	-
6th year or more	1.1	0.5	-	-	2.4	1.1	-	-	-	-
Since entering college										
1st	2.2	0.4	-	-	4.1	0.7	-	-	S	S
2nd	2.7	0.6	-	-	4.3	0.9	-	-	1.3	0.6
3rd	4.7	1.0	-	-	7.8	1.7	-	-	1.9	0.9
4th	5.0	1.2	-	-	8.6	1.9	-	-	2.7	1.4
5th	5.9	1.4	-	-	11.0	2.9	-	-	s	s
6th year or more	5.9	1.2	-	-	10.4	2.4	-	-	2.4	1.2

¹Per 100 students.

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

				Penetra	ation		Sexual Touching				
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate		uate or ssional	Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Sexual orientation											
Heterosexual	11.1	0.5	5.0	0.3	2.8	0.4	9.5	0.5	3.8	0.5	
Non-Heterosexual	18.4	1.7	12.2	1.8	1.9	0.9	17.9	2.1	5.4	1.6	
Ethnicity			-							·	
Hispanic	11.5	1.9	8.7	2.1	s	s	9.0	2.3	4.9	2.2	
Not Hispanic	11.7	0.4	5.4	0.3	2.6	0.3	10.2	0.5	4.1	0.5	
Race											
American Indian or Alaska Native	14.2	3.3	S	S	S	S	13.4	4.0	12.1	6.6	
Asian	5.0	0.8	2.4	0.7	-	-	3.8	1.0	4.2	1.2	
Black or African American	5.3	1.8	S	S	s	s	4.5	2.4	s	s	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	16.0	8.0	S	S	-	-	20.5	10.4	-	-	
White	13.1	0.4	6.2	0.4	3.4	0.5	11.1	0.5	4.0	0.5	
Disability					1						
Yes	21.6	2.8	7.7	2.1	7.7	3.8	20.1	2.9	s	s	
No	11.4	0.4	5.5	0.3	2.5	0.3	9.8	0.5	4.1	0.5	
Marital status											
Never married	8.0	0.8	-	-	3.3	0.5	-	-	5.1	0.6	
Not married but living with a partner	4.3	1.2	-	-	1.5	0.6	-	-	2.9	0.9	
Married	4.4	1.0	-	-	1.5	0.7	-	-	2.9	0.9	
Divorced or separated	S	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s	
Other	10.5	5.1	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s	

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Penetra	ation			Sexual To	ouching			
Survey Item	т	otal	Underg	raduate		uate or ssional	Underg	raduate		uate or ssional		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Year in School and Ti	ming of	Incidents										
Undergraduate												
Current year												
Freshman	6.6	0.9	1.6	0.5	-	-	5.4	0.8	-	-		
Sophomore	8.9	0.9	3.4	0.6	-	-	6.8	0.9	-	-		
Junior	8.7	0.8	2.9	0.5	-	-	6.1	0.7	-	-		
Senior	6.9	0.5	3.3	0.4	-	-	4.7	0.5	-	-		
Since entering co	ollege											
Freshman	6.6	0.9	1.6	0.5	-	-	5.4	0.8	-	-		
Sophomore	11.4	1.1	5.0	0.8	-	-	8.8	1.2	-	-		
Junior	13.9	0.9	5.4	0.7	-	-	10.3	0.8	-	-		
Senior	15.8	0.8	6.8	0.6	-	-	11.8	0.7	-	-		
Graduate/Profess	ional											
Current year												
1st year	2.7	0.5	-	-	1.4	0.4	-	-	1.7	0.4		
2nd year	1.3	0.5	-	-	s	S	-	-	1.0	0.5		
3rd year	2.7	0.8	-	-	1.0	0.5	-	-	1.7	0.7		
4th year	s	s	-	-	s	S	-	-	-	-		
5th year	5.2	1.8	-	-	s	S	-	-	4.4	1.7		
6th year	2.4	1.1	-	-	s	S	-	-	s	S		

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Penetra	ition		Sexual Touching					
Survey Item	т	otal	tal Undergraduat		Graduate or Professional		Underg	raduate		ate or sional		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Since entering	college											
1st year	4.1	0.7	-	-	1.9	0.5	-	-	2.6	0.5		
2nd year	4.3	0.9	-	-	2.1	0.6	-	-	2.2	0.6		
3rd year	7.8	1.7	-	-	3.0	1.0	-	-	4.8	1.2		
4th year	8.6	1.9	-	-	2.3	1.0	-	-	6.7	1.9		
5th year	11.0	2.9	-	-	S	S	-	-	9.6	2.6		
6th year	10.4	2.4	-	-	6.4	2.0	-	-	4.8	1.8		

¹Per 100 students.

Table 4.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual TouchingInvolving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics byBehavior and Tactic^{1, 2}

		Сое	cion		Absence of Affirmative Consent			
Survey Item	Penet	ration	Sexual Touching		Penetration			kual Ching
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Offender characteristics								
Gender								
Male	100.0	0.0	88.9	10.5	98.3	0.7	98.7	0.6
Female	-	-	s	s	2.4	0.9	3.9	1.1
Other gender identity	-	-	-	-	S	s	-	-
Number of offenders								
1 offender	100.0	0.0	75.5	15.2	75.4	2.1	57.0	2.3
2 offenders	-	-	S	S	16.7	2.2	23.9	2.1
3 or more offenders	-	-	s	s	8.0	1.3	19.1	1.9
University affiliation								
Student	57.2	13.7	77.1	14.6	77.7	2.6	76.1	2.4
Faculty or instructor	-	-	S	S	S	S	S	s
Other staff or administrator	-	-	s	s	S	s	S	s
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	0.5
The person was not affiliated with university	S	s	s	s	6.7	1.4	22.9	2.4
Don't know association with university	42.8	13.7	-	-	24.2	2.8	16.8	1.8

Table 4.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual TouchingInvolving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics byBehavior and Tactic^{1, 2} (continued)

		Coer	cion		Absence of Affirmative Consent			
Survey Item	Penetration		Sexual Touching		Penetration		Sexual Touching	
Response		StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim								
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	50.8	21.2	S	S	47.3	3.3	11.3	1.5
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	-	-	-	-	15.2	1.8	7.6	1.4
Teacher or advisor	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	s
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	s	s	37.7	17.9	2.0	0.9	2.1	0.6
Friend or acquaintance	38.6	15.8	43.1	18.7	41.6	3.4	46.5	2.5
Stranger	s	S	S	S	15.6	2.1	52.1	2.1
Other	-	-	-	-	2.9	1.0	2.8	0.8
Don't Know	-	-	-	-	S	S	1.1	0.5

¹Per 100 victims.

Table 4.5b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2}

		Coer	cion		Absence of Affirmative Consent				
Survey Item	Penetration		Sexual Touching		Penetration			kual ching	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Offender characteristics									
Gender									
Male	S	S	-	-	56.6	12.2	32.5	6.5	
Female	s	S	-	-	43.4	12.2	80.7	4.8	
Other gender identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Number of offenders							1		
1 offender	s	S	-	-	71.9	10.5	66.0	6.6	
2 offenders	s	S	_	-	s	s	24.5	5.8	
3 or more offenders	s	S	-	-	18.3	9.2	9.5	3.5	
University affiliation							1		
Student	s	S	-	-	63.2	10.6	83.7	4.6	
Faculty or instructor	-	-	-	-	S	S	S	s	
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Other staff or administrator	s	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S	
The person was not affiliated with university	-	-	-	-	S	S	13.7	4.9	
Don't know association with university	s	s	_	-	34.4	10.6	8.7	3.2	

Table 4.5b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2} (continued)

		Coer	cion		Absence of Affirmative Consent			
Survey Item	Penetration		Sexual Touching		Penetration			kual Ching
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim								
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	S	S	-	-	35.0	9.6	6.9	3.5
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	100.0	0.0	-	-	33.9	10.7	7.4	3.4
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	s
Friend or acquaintance	100.0	0.0	-	-	27.1	9.5	54.3	7.0
Stranger	s	s	-	-	37.6	10.9	47.8	7.1
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	s
Don't Know	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S

¹Per 100 victims.

Table 4.6. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation,Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	т	otal	Female (n=5,378)		Male (n=3,511)		TGQN (n=83)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	13.8	0.3	22.5	0.5	4.5	0.3	28.0	5.0
Penetration	5.3	0.2	8.7	0.4	1.7	0.2	10.1	2.9
Sexual Touching	11.1	0.3	18.3	0.5	3.4	0.3	21.1	5.1
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	14.3	0.3	23.5	0.6	4.6	0.3	29.0	5.2
Penetration	6.3	0.2	10.6	0.4	1.9	0.2	12.2	3.2
Sexual Touching	11.1	0.3	18.3	0.5	3.4	0.3	21.1	5.1
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	14.4	0.3	23.6	0.6	4.6	0.3	29.0	5.2
Penetration	6.4	0.2	10.6	0.4	1.9	0.2	12.2	3.2
Sexual Touching	11.1	0.3	18.4	0.5	3.4	0.3	21.1	5.1
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	17.6	0.4	28.8	0.6	5.7	0.4	31.2	5.2
Penetration	8.1	0.2	13.5	0.4	2.3	0.2	16.5	3.9
Sexual Touching	13.9	0.3	22.8	0.6	4.5	0.3	23.0	5.1

Table 4.7a. Percent of Undergraduates Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation,Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	т	otal	Female (n=3,875)		Male (n=2,328)			GQN 1=44)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	16.6	0.4	26.4	0.6	5.3	0.4	33.8	7.9
Penetration	6.5	0.3	10.4	0.4	2.0	0.3	11.1	4.4
Sexual Touching	13.5	0.4	21.7	0.6	4.0	0.3	28.4	7.9
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	17.3	0.4	27.6	0.7	5.4	0.4	35.7	7.6
Penetration	7.8	0.3	12.6	0.5	2.2	0.3	14.9	4.6
Sexual Touching	13.5	0.4	21.7	0.6	4.0	0.3	28.4	7.9
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	17.3	0.4	27.7	0.7	5.4	0.4	35.7	7.6
Penetration	7.8	0.3	12.6	0.5	2.2	0.3	14.9	4.6
Sexual Touching	13.5	0.4	21.8	0.6	4.0	0.3	28.4	7.9
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	21.0	0.5	33.6	0.7	6.5	0.5	39.6	7.3
Penetration	9.7	0.3	15.9	0.6	2.6	0.3	22.4	6.2
Sexual Touching	16.7	0.4	26.8	0.7	5.2	0.4	31.9	7.7

Table 4.7b. Percent of Graduate and Professional Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving PhysicalForce, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	Total		Female (n=1,503)		Male (n=1,183)			GQN 1=39)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	6.9	0.4	11.5	0.8	2.8	0.4	20.3	6.6
Penetration	2.5	0.2	4.2	0.5	0.9	0.3	8.8	4.4
Sexual Touching	5.3	0.4	8.8	0.8	2.1	0.4	11.5	4.5
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	7.3	0.5	12.1	0.8	2.9	0.4	20.3	6.6
Penetration	2.9	0.3	4.9	0.5	1.1	0.3	8.8	4.4
Sexual Touching	5.3	0.4	8.8	0.8	2.1	0.4	11.5	4.5
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	7.4	0.5	12.2	0.8	3.0	0.4	20.3	6.6
Penetration	3.0	0.3	4.9	0.5	1.2	0.3	8.8	4.4
Sexual Touching	5.3	0.4	8.9	0.8	2.1	0.4	11.5	4.5
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	9.5	0.6	15.6	0.9	4.1	0.5	20.3	6.6
Penetration	4.0	0.3	6.7	0.6	1.5	0.3	8.8	4.4
Sexual Touching	7.0	0.5	11.5	0.9	3.1	0.4	11.5	4.5

Table 4.8. Percent of Seniors Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	г	otal	Female (n=1,385)		Male (n=884)		TGQN (n=17)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	18.4	0.7	29.4	1.2	6.4	0.7	46.2	15.0
Penetration	7.4	0.5	12.3	0.7	2.4	0.5	S	S
Sexual Touching	15.4	0.7	24.7	1.1	5.2	0.6	43.0	15.3
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	19.0	0.7	30.5	1.3	6.5	0.7	50.3	14.5
Penetration	8.9	0.5	14.7	0.9	2.7	0.5	15.7	7.2
Sexual Touching	15.4	0.7	24.7	1.1	5.2	0.6	43.0	15.3
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	19.0	0.7	30.5	1.3	6.5	0.7	50.3	14.5
Penetration	8.9	0.5	14.8	0.9	2.7	0.5	15.7	7.2
Sexual Touching	15.4	0.7	24.7	1.1	5.2	0.6	43.0	15.3
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	23.2	0.8	37.2	1.2	8.0	0.7	54.5	14.0
Penetration	11.1	0.6	18.5	0.9	3.1	0.5	28.0	10.7
Sexual Touching	19.2	0.7	30.5	1.2	6.9	0.7	46.2	15.0

Table 4.9. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation,Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	Total		Female (n=5,378)		Male (n=3,511)			GQN =83)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	7.1	0.2	11.8	0.4	2.1	0.2	12.2	3.1
Penetration	1.9	0.1	2.9	0.2	0.8	0.1	S	S
Sexual Touching	6.0	0.2	10.2	0.4	1.6	0.2	10.0	2.6
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	7.3	0.2	12.2	0.4	2.1	0.2	12.2	3.1
Penetration	2.3	0.1	3.6	0.2	0.9	0.1	3.8	2.2
Sexual Touching	6.0	0.2	10.2	0.4	1.6	0.2	10.0	2.6
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	7.3	0.2	12.3	0.4	2.1	0.2	12.2	3.1
Penetration	2.3	0.1	3.6	0.2	0.9	0.1	3.8	2.2
Sexual Touching	6.0	0.2	10.3	0.4	1.6	0.2	10.0	2.6
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	9.7	0.2	16.2	0.4	2.9	0.3	16.9	3.9
Penetration	3.4	0.2	5.5	0.3	1.1	0.2	6.9	3.0
Sexual Touching	7.9	0.2	13.2	0.4	2.4	0.2	11.6	2.7

Table 4.10a. Percent of Undergraduates Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force,Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	1	otal		male 3,875)		/lale 2,328)		GQN 1=44)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	9.1	0.3	14.8	0.5	2.6	0.3	16.4	5.1
Penetration	2.4	0.2	3.6	0.3	1.0	0.2	S	S
Sexual Touching	7.7	0.3	12.8	0.5	1.9	0.2	12.4	3.8
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	9.3	0.3	15.2	0.5	2.6	0.3	16.4	5.1
Penetration	2.9	0.2	4.4	0.3	1.2	0.2	6.7	3.9
Sexual Touching	7.7	0.3	12.8	0.5	1.9	0.2	12.4	3.8
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	9.4	0.3	15.3	0.5	2.6	0.3	16.4	5.1
Penetration	3.0	0.2	4.5	0.3	1.2	0.2	6.7	3.9
Sexual Touching	7.8	0.3	12.9	0.5	1.9	0.2	12.4	3.8
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	12.4	0.3	20.0	0.5	3.6	0.4	24.7	6.1
Penetration	4.3	0.2	6.8	0.3	1.4	0.2	12.2	5.1
Sexual Touching	10.1	0.3	16.5	0.5	2.9	0.3	15.2	3.8

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.10b. Percent of Graduate and Professional Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving PhysicalForce, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item		Total		male 1,503)		Лаle 1,183)		ГGQN n=39)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	2.2	0.2	3.5	0.4	0.9	0.3	6.8	3.8
Penetration	0.6	0.1	0.9	0.2	0.3	0.2	-	-
Sexual Touching	1.9	0.2	2.9	0.3	0.8	0.3	6.8	3.8
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	2.3	0.3	3.8	0.4	0.9	0.3	6.8	3.8
Penetration	0.7	0.2	1.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	-	-
Sexual Touching	1.9	0.2	2.9	0.3	0.8	0.3	6.8	3.8
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	2.3	0.2	3.9	0.4	0.9	0.3	6.8	3.8
Penetration	0.7	0.2	1.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	-	-
Sexual Touching	1.9	0.2	3.1	0.3	0.8	0.3	6.8	3.8
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	3.3	0.3	5.4	0.4	1.5	0.3	6.8	3.8
Penetration	1.2	0.2	2.0	0.3	0.5	0.2	-	-
Sexual Touching	2.6	0.3	4.1	0.4	1.3	0.3	6.8	3.8

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.11. Percent of Seniors Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation,Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	1	otal		male 1,385)		Male 1=884)		GQN 1=17)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	7.6	0.5	12.7	0.9	2.2	0.4	14.7	6.7
Penetration	1.8	0.3	2.7	0.4	0.8	0.3	-	-
Sexual Touching	6.8	0.5	11.4	0.9	1.9	0.4	14.7	6.7
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	7.8	0.5	13.0	0.9	2.2	0.4	14.7	6.7
Penetration	2.1	0.3	3.1	0.5	1.0	0.3	S	S
Sexual Touching	6.8	0.5	11.4	0.9	1.9	0.4	14.7	6.7
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	7.9	0.5	13.2	0.9	2.2	0.4	14.7	6.7
Penetration	2.2	0.3	3.2	0.5	1.0	0.3	S	s
Sexual Touching	6.8	0.5	11.5	0.9	1.9	0.4	14.7	6.7
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	10.9	0.6	17.8	0.9	3.3	0.5	33.2	8.5
Penetration	3.6	0.3	5.7	0.5	1.1	0.3	16.5	8.7
Sexual Touching	9.2	0.6	14.8	0.9	3.2	0.5	20.9	6.3

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1,2}

				Female (n	=5,378)		Male (n=3,511)				
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,875)	Profe	iate or ssional ,503)	sional Undergradu				
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Percent of Students Reporting Harassment	51.7	0.5	65.7	0.8	53.1	1.1	43.7	1.1	33.1	1.2	
University-associated individual:											
made sexual remarks, or insulting/offensive jokes or stories	32.5	0.5	43.7	0.9	38.7	1.0	23.0	1.0	19.1	1.0	
made inappropriate comments regarding body, appearance, or sexual activity	41.8	0.5	53.3	0.7	39.4	1.0	36.6	1.0	26.1	1.1	
said crude or gross sexual things or tried to engage in sexual conversation	18.6	0.4	26.4	0.7	16.5	0.9	14.3	0.7	11.0	0.8	
transmitted offensive sexual remarks, stories, jokes, pictures, videos	11.4	0.3	16.5	0.6	8.8	0.7	9.4	0.7	5.4	0.6	
asked to go out, get dinner, drinks, or have sex, despite refusal	11.6	0.3	21.0	0.8	12.4	0.8	4.9	0.4	3.2	0.5	
Percent of Victims of Harassment											
Number of offenders											
1 person	26.5	0.7	23.2	0.8	31.9	1.5	28.9	1.3	29.3	2.3	
2 persons	27.9	0.6	29.3	0.7	32.3	1.7	23.7	1.3	27.7	2.2	
3 or more persons	45.6	0.8	47.5	1.0	35.8	1.6	47.4	1.4	43.0	2.4	

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1,2} (continued)

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,875)	Profe	uate or ssional .,503)		raduate 2,328)	Profe	uate or ssional .,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term										
0 times	21.5	0.4	14.8	0.7	38.0	1.6	19.1	1.0	37.3	2.1
1 time	20.0	0.6	20.5	0.8	21.7	1.4	19.0	1.0	18.4	1.7
2 times	22.5	0.6	24.9	0.9	20.0	1.2	21.6	1.3	18.2	1.8
3-5 times	24.8	0.5	28.2	0.9	16.9	1.3	24.3	1.2	19.0	1.8
6-9 times	5.4	0.3	6.9	0.4	1.2	0.4	5.9	0.8	3.0	0.7
10 or more times	5.9	0.3	4.8	0.4	2.3	0.5	10.0	0.9	4.1	0.8
Association with university			1				1			
Student	92.8	0.4	95.3	0.4	84.1	1.1	95.0	0.6	87.7	1.4
Faculty	7.8	0.4	4.1	0.4	22.2	1.4	3.8	0.6	15.1	1.8
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	s	s	0.5	0.3	S	s
Other staff or administrator	4.3	0.3	2.6	0.3	10.7	0.9	3.1	0.5	6.2	1.2
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	3.2	0.3	3.3	0.4	4.8	0.7	2.7	0.5	2.2	0.7
The person was not affiliated with [University]	10.4	0.4	11.6	0.7	9.6	0.9	8.1	0.8	10.2	1.4
Don't know association with [University]	10.5	0.4	13.0	0.6	7.5	1.0	9.4	0.8	6.5	1.2

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1,2} (continued)

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,875)	Graduate or Professional Undergraduate (n=1,503) (n=2,328)				Profe	uate or ssional .,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim										
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	5.1	0.3	7.5	0.5	3.3	0.5	3.3	0.5	2.5	0.7
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	6.9	0.3	9.8	0.5	5.7	0.8	4.5	0.6	2.5	0.8
Teacher or advisor	5.2	0.3	3.4	0.3	12.4	1.0	3.0	0.6	7.6	1.3
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	10.6	0.4	7.7	0.5	23.6	1.4	5.8	0.6	19.1	1.6
Friend or acquaintance	70.5	0.6	70.3	0.9	62.7	1.6	77.3	0.9	66.7	1.8
Stranger	45.2	0.7	56.5	1.0	32.2	1.5	38.3	1.5	26.6	2.0
Other	6.4	0.3	5.1	0.4	10.3	1.0	5.5	0.7	9.1	1.3
Don't Know	2.9	0.3	2.1	0.3	1.5	0.3	4.4	0.5	4.6	1.2

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1,2}(continued)

		TGQN (r	า=83)	
Survey Item		raduate :44)	iate or ssional :39)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Harassment	75.3	6.2	70.7	6.8
University-associated individual:				
made sexual remarks, or insulting/offensive jokes or stories	60.0	6.2	64.1	7.2
made inappropriate comments regarding body, appearance, or sexual activity	71.7	6.5	63.1	6.8
said crude or gross sexual things or tried to engage in sexual conversation	23.6	6.4	20.0	5.7
transmitted offensive sexual remarks, stories, jokes, pictures, videos	10.7	4.7	21.6	8.1
asked to go out, get dinner, drinks, or have sex, despite refusal	15.2	6.1	8.8	4.5
Percent of Victims of Harassment	'			
Number of offenders				
1 person	8.2	4.0	32.3	10.3
2 persons	25.1	7.0	27.0	8.8
3 or more persons	66.7	8.6	40.6	9.3

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1,2} (continued)

		TGQN	(n=83)	Graduate or Professional (n=39) 6 StdErr .4 9.9 .5 7.5 .2 5.8 .9 7.0						
Survey Item		graduate =44)	Profe	ssional						
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr						
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term										
0 times	S	S	46.4	9.9						
1 time	13.8	8.2	18.5	7.5						
2 times	11.3	4.7	13.2	5.8						
3-5 times	51.6	11.7	18.9	7.0						
6-9 times	S	S	S	S						
10 or more times	13.8	7.1	-	-						
Association with university			<u></u>							
Student	95.0	3.1	72.4	10.2						
Faculty	18.4	7.5	27.3	10.7						
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	-	-	S	S						
Other staff or administrator	S	S	8.4	5.3						
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	S	S	-	-						
The person was not affiliated with [University]	20.2	6.6	21.2	7.3						
Don't know association with [University]	11.8	4.4	S	S						

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1,2} (continued)

		TGQN (n	=83)	
Survey Item		raduate =44)	Profes	ate or ssional 39)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	-	-	-	-
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	S	S	S	S
Teacher or advisor	18.4	7.5	23.0	10.6
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	18.7	8.4	26.2	7.2
Friend or acquaintance	45.2	7.6	46.9	11.1
Stranger	76.0	8.4	44.8	9.5
Other	S	S	15.4	6.2
Don't Know	S	S	-	-

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item		Total		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		raduate ,328)	Profes	iate or ssional ,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to Others										
Friend	59.7	0.5	73.6	0.6	67.7	1.5	38.6	1.2	42.0	2.3
Family member	13.4	0.5	16.1	0.7	21.1	1.4	5.5	0.8	11.9	1.3
Faculty	2.8	0.2	2.0	0.3	7.1	0.9	1.4	0.4	4.0	0.9
Someone else	5.9	0.3	5.3	0.4	10.2	1.0	4.5	0.6	5.4	1.0
I didn't tell anyone else	37.1	0.6	26.5	0.6	26.4	1.3	56.4	1.3	48.8	2.2

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		iate or ssional ,503)	Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profe	uate or ssional .,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to a Program	'			,						
Contacted at least one program in university list	6.9	0.3	7.6	0.5	12.0	0.8	3.3	0.5	5.5	0.9
					1					
Contacted Dean of Students Office	20.5	2.2	20.5	3.1	23.3	4.1	20.0	6.1	15.1	7.5
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	48.3	5.0	39.1	7.3	54.6	9.6	84.3	15.0	S	S
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	32.7	4.6	43.0	6.3	31.0	9.7	-	-	S	S
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	6.7	2.5	9.6	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	12.3	3.7	8.3	4.3	14.4	7.4	S	S	S	S
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear									
Not at all	26.5	5.2	16.7	5.2	24.0	8.6	56.6	20.7	S	S
A little	16.3	3.9	15.8	5.1	15.3	7.5	-	-	S	S
Somewhat	22.6	5.4	18.3	5.9	34.9	9.4	S	S	-	-
Very	20.1	4.1	22.7	6.0	22.9	9.5	S	S	-	-
Extremely	14.5	4.0	26.5	6.8	s	S	-	-	-	-

				Female (n:	=5,378)		Male (n=3,511)				
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profes	iate or ssional ,183)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted Office for Equity and Diversity/Title IX Coordinator	4.9	1.2	3.9	1.3	3.6	1.8	S	S	19.1	8.1	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	66.8	9.9	72.4	17.2	s	s	S	s	73.8	26.7	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	19.8	9.4	S	s	-	-	-	-	s	S	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	s	S	-	-	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear				1						
Not at all	43.0	10.7	41.2	19.2	-	-	s	s	S	S	
A little	S	S	-	-	S	s	-	-	-	-	
Somewhat	25.3	11.3	s	s	s	S	-	-	s	s	
Very	S	S	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Extremely	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	s	S	

				Female (n=	=5,378)		Male (n=3,511)			
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profe	uate or ssional .,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Housefellow or Residence Life Staff	24.9	2.3	37.0	3.3	4.2	1.7	27.0	7.1	S	s
Most recent contact						· · · · · ·				
Fall of 2014 - present	38.5	4.9	33.6	5.4	S	s	64.5	16.1	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	27.3	3.7	32.5	4.4	-	-	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	25.6	3.5	26.4	4.3	S	s	S	S	S	S
Prior to Fall of 2012	8.6	3.2	7.5	3.6	S	s	-	-	S	S
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Ye	ear				1				1	
Not at all	8.9	2.7	9.4	3.3	S	S	-	-	-	-
A little	19.3	3.2	18.4	3.6	S	s	29.9	14.8	-	-
Somewhat	20.1	3.6	16.4	3.7	S	S	S	S	S	s
Very	32.7	5.0	34.6	4.9	-	-	41.0	17.3	-	-
Extremely	19.0	3.7	21.3	4.4	S	S	S	S	-	-

				Female (n:	=5,378)		Male (n=3,511)			
Survey Item		Total		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		iate or ssional ,503)	Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profe (n=1	iate or ssional ,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted University of Wisconsin-Madison Police Department	11.3	1.6	13.8	2.5	6.2	2.1	19.4	5.8	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	44.3	8.0	50.1	10.3	S	s	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	35.8	7.7	42.6	11.1	S	S	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	11.0	5.0	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	8.9	4.9	-	-	43.8	21.5	S	S	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear		·							
Not at all	10.7	4.4	10.2	6.2	s	s	-	-	-	-
A little	13.2	4.1	17.0	5.3	S	S	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	25.3	6.2	13.5	7.0	s	S	50.3	20.2	-	-
Very	37.7	6.9	41.1	8.7	-	-	49.7	20.2	-	-
Extremely	13.0	4.7	18.2	7.1	S	S	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=5,378)		Male (n=3,511)				
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profe	iate or ssional ,183)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted Madison Police Department	7.9	1.3	7.1	2.0	12.2	2.9	9.7	4.3	-	-	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	41.3	9.0	57.3	14.4	28.6	12.4	S	S	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	24.3	10.3	36.3	15.7	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	11.6	5.8	S	s	S	s	S	S	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	22.9	7.2	-	-	39.0	13.9	S	s	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear				1	,			1	,	
Not at all	20.5	8.3	24.2	12.6	S	s	s	s	-	-	
A little	23.4	10.6	32.6	16.7	S	S	S	s	-	-	
Somewhat	22.5	7.4	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Very	26.7	7.8	24.4	11.5	41.7	14.8	-	-	-	-	
Extremely	6.9	3.7	-	-	18.8	9.8	-	-	-	-	

				Female (n:	=5,378)		Male (n=3,511)				
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		uate or ssional ,503)	Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profes	uate or ssional .,183)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted Non- or Off-Campus Agency	6.2	1.2	6.2	1.7	5.3	1.8	6.4	4.1	s	s	
Most recent contact			<u></u>					·			
Fall of 2014 - present	44.6	8.2	57.8	13.4	s	S	-	-	S	S	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	39.1	8.1	42.2	13.4	s	s	S	s	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	s	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	11.1	5.4	-	-	s	s	S	s	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year		1					_			
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
A little	11.7	7.9	-	-	s	s	S	s	-	-	
Somewhat	41.6	11.6	41.0	18.3	s	S	S	s	-	-	
Very	35.7	10.9	45.6	17.2	57.1	21.9	-	-	-	-	
Extremely	11.0	5.4	S	s	-	-	-	-	S	S	

				Female (n:	=5,378)		Male (n=3,511)				
Survey Item	Т	Total		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		uate or ssional ,,503)	Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profe	uate or ssional I,183)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted UHS Clinical Medicine	10.5	1.4	13.0	2.1	5.6	2.0	17.9	6.1	-	-	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	48.7	8.4	61.0	10.9	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	31.5	7.1	34.5	9.5	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	8.0	4.1	S	s	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	11.8	5.5	-	-	S	s	S	S	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Schoo	Year				1				1		
Not at all	9.4	4.8	S	s	S	s	S	S	-	-	
A little	16.2	5.7	25.2	8.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Somewhat	40.2	8.9	28.1	8.7	s	S	86.9	12.8	-	-	
Very	25.3	8.0	28.3	10.7	56.7	24.5	-	-	-	-	
Extremely	8.9	4.0	13.9	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profes	ate or ssional ,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted UHS End Violence on Campus	4.0	1.0	4.7	1.4	3.9	1.8	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact						· · · ·				
Fall of 2014 - present	95.9	3.8	100	0.0	82.3	17.0	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	s	S	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year				1				1	,
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	15.5	6.9	S	s	s	S	S	s	-	-
Very	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Extremely	69.8	10.0	75.7	15.9	59.3	18.6	S	s	-	-

				Female (n:	=5,378)		Male (n=3,511)				
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profes	uate or ssional ,183)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted UHS Mental Health Services	34.1	2.1	40.7	2.5	20.7	4.0	38.4	7.5	28.5	9.0	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	57.6	5.2	60.8	6.2	34.8	11.6	82.1	10.9	S	s	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	23.7	4.0	25.2	5.1	29.0	9.6	S	S	S	S	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	9.1	2.2	11.6	3.2	S	s	-	-	S	S	
Prior to Fall of 2012	9.6	2.6	S	S	29.8	10.8	S	S	S	s	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear				1						
Not at all	11.5	2.8	9.0	3.1	S	s	22.8	10.2	S	s	
A little	20.8	3.5	22.2	3.9	12.2	6.5	S	S	S	s	
Somewhat	28.4	4.0	33.5	5.6	20.2	7.2	30.4	12.6	-	-	
Very	27.1	3.7	24.2	4.1	34.9	10.0	31.0	12.4	S	S	
Extremely	12.3	3.1	11.0	3.3	22.8	10.1	-	-	S	S	

				Female (n:	=5,378)		Male (n=3,511)			
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profe	uate or ssional .,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted University faculty, TA, or staff	36.7	2.4	23.8	2.9	58.3	5.2	32.6	9.1	56.7	9.5
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	49.4	4.4	59.0	7.3	44.8	6.3	59.4	18.5	27.4	13.5
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	20.7	3.5	24.9	7.1	18.3	4.0	S	s	S	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	10.1	2.5	11.1	4.2	9.7	3.4	S	s	S	s
Prior to Fall of 2012	19.8	3.2	S	S	27.2	6.5	S	s	43.1	14.7
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear									•
Not at all	20.1	3.6	15.2	5.2	26.5	5.1	S	s	S	s
A little	9.2	2.4	12.4	4.8	7.9	3.5	S	s	-	-
Somewhat	20.7	3.7	14.5	4.0	21.3	5.0	35.0	16.7	S	S
Very	31.5	3.8	43.3	6.8	24.3	5.6	27.1	15.6	26.6	12.8
Extremely	18.6	3.9	14.7	5.5	19.9	5.5	-	-	43.1	14.7

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		uate or ssional L,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Did not contact any programs				1						
Reason										
Did not know where to go or who to tell	4.7	0.3	5.3	0.4	6.9	1.1	3.7	0.6	2.1	0.7
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	4.4	0.3	6.0	0.4	5.2	0.7	1.6	0.4	2.2	0.7
I did not think anyone would believe me	2.0	0.2	2.4	0.3	2.1	0.5	1.0	0.4	2.2	0.7
I did not think it was serious enough to report	79.8	0.6	82.5	0.7	80.0	1.6	76.4	1.4	77.2	1.7
I did not want the person to get into trouble	10.6	0.4	10.1	0.6	16.3	1.0	8.6	0.8	9.8	1.4
I feared negative social consequences	8.8	0.4	9.3	0.5	13.3	1.2	5.4	0.8	9.4	1.5
I did not think anything would be done	16.7	0.5	19.2	0.7	22.8	1.4	10.1	1.0	13.1	1.6
I feared it would not be kept confidential	5.4	0.4	6.0	0.5	9.6	1.0	2.2	0.5	5.3	1.0
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	12.9	0.5	14.2	0.8	14.7	1.4	9.7	1.0	11.9	1.6
Incident did not occur while attending school	4.2	0.3	4.3	0.5	4.7	0.6	3.5	0.5	4.6	0.9
Other	16.5	0.5	13.5	0.6	13.2	1.3	21.7	1.1	19.5	1.7

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.2a. Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Experiencing Intimate Partner Violence by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1, 2,3}

				Female (n	=5,378)		Male (n=3,511)				
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,875)	Profe	uate or ssional .,503)		graduate 2,328)	Profe	uate or ssional .,183)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Reporting Intimate Partner Violence	9.3	0.3	10.9	0.6	8.0	0.7	8.8	0.6	7.3	0.8	
Partner controlled or tried to control	5.7	0.3	7.1	0.5	4.9	0.6	5.1	0.4	4.1	0.7	
Partner threatened to harm student, family, or themselves	3.7	0.2	4.2	0.4	3.8	0.4	3.5	0.4	2.6	0.4	
Partner used physical force	3.7	0.2	3.5	0.3	3.4	0.5	3.9	0.5	4.1	0.5	
Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence											
Number of offenders											
1 person	90.9	1.1	93.4	1.2	88.4	2.6	91.0	2.4	85.5	3.9	
2 persons	8.0	1.1	6.4	1.3	10.4	2.5	7.4	2.2	11.9	3.7	
3 or more persons	1.1	0.3	S	S	s	S	1.6	0.8	S	s	
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term											
0 times	38.0	1.6	39.7	2.4	58.2	3.7	27.3	2.8	41.0	4.3	
1 time	21.5	1.3	24.3	2.0	10.3	2.5	21.9	3.0	22.1	5.0	
2 times	14.8	1.4	12.6	1.4	12.6	2.9	19.6	4.1	12.9	3.7	
3-5 times	16.6	1.3	16.5	2.0	9.4	2.8	21.8	2.9	9.5	3.1	
6-9 times	4.5	0.9	2.6	0.8	8.2	2.9	4.8	1.9	4.9	2.2	
10 or more times	4.7	0.8	4.3	1.2	s	S	4.7	1.4	9.6	3.8	

Table 5.2a. Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Experiencing Intimate Partner Violence by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1, 2,3}(continued)

		TGQN	(n=83)	
Survey Item		raduate -44)		Professional =39)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Reporting Intimate Partner Violence	21.7	7.5	S	S
Partner controlled or tried to control	16.7	7.2	S	S
Partner threatened to harm student, family, or themselves	9.5	4.2	S	S
Partner used physical force	-	-	-	-
Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence	'			
Number of offenders				
1 person	88.2	11.8	S	S
2 persons	S	S	S	S
3 or more persons	-	-	-	-
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term				
0 times	S	s	-	-
1 time	S	S	S	S
2 times	S	s	-	-
3-5 times	S	S	S	S
6-9 times	S	S	-	-
10 or more times	-	-	-	-

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

²Percent of students who reported being in a partnered relationship since entering college (question A13 on questionnaire).

³TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

				Female (n	=5,378)		Male (n=3,511)					
Survey Itom	Survey Item		Undergraduate Total (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profe	uate or ssional .,183)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Reporting to Others												
Friend	63.0	2.0	75.2	2.4	62.0	3.9	54.2	4.0	41.2	5.5		
Family member	29.2	1.7	35.7	2.6	36.4	4.3	20.5	3.3	19.7	4.3		
Faculty	2.7	0.6	1.9	0.8	5.4	1.8	1.4	0.7	4.2	2.2		
Someone else	8.8	1.2	6.6	1.3	11.5	2.6	6.3	2.0	21.1	5.2		
I didn't tell anyone else	30.4	2.1	20.3	2.1	30.5	3.9	39.1	4.0	47.9	5.5		

				Female (n:	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate 5,875)	Profe	uate or ssional .,503)		raduate ,328)	Profe	uate or ssional ,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to a Program								,		
Contacted at least one program in university list	15.8	1.3	20.1	1.9	25.3	4.1	7.6	1.8	10.7	3.1
Contacted Dean of Students Office	17.7	3.7	12.1	3.7	31.4	10.6	30.6	12.9	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	49.3	10.4	35.1	17.2	57.3	22.3	S	s	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	19.5	8.0	S	s	S	s	S	s	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	23.3	8.9	36.7	17.6	s	s	S	S	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	S	s	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear									
Not at all	20.1	10.2	40.7	18.6	-	-	S	s	-	-
A little	27.9	10.0	S	s	47.7	24.5	S	s	-	-
Somewhat	19.8	10.1	-	-	s	S	S	s	-	-
Very	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Extremely	20.9	7.5	46.4	18.3	s	s	-	-	-	-

				Female (n:	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		uate or ssional .,503)	Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profes	iate or ssional ,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Office for Equity and Diversity/Title IX Coordinator	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S
Most recent contact			<u></u>				<u></u>			
Fall of 2014 - present	100	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	S
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Yo	ear				1				1	
Not at all	100	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n=	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,875)	Profe	iate or ssional ,503)		raduate ,328)	Profe	uate or ssional ,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Housefellow or Residence Life Staff	15.0	3.1	21.8	4.9	S	s	S	S	S	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	35.6	9.5	43.9	12.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	31.0	8.5	30.3	10.1	-	-	S	s	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	25.8	9.7	25.8	10.7	-	-	-	-	S	s
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	S	s	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Yo	ear		1							
Not at all	S	S	-	-	S	s	-	-	-	-
A little	19.4	8.9	23.9	10.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	32.7	11.9	22.2	10.2	S	S	S	S	S	S
Very	17.2	8.8	21.3	10.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	26.4	9.0	32.6	10.1	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item		otal	(n=3	raduate ,875)	Profes (n=1	ate or ssional ,503)		raduate ,328)	Profes (n=1	uate or ssional .,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted University of Wisconsin-Madison Police Department	12.7	3.0	12.4	3.8	S	S	28.3	13.6	S	S
Most recent contact			<u></u>				<u></u>			
Fall of 2014 - present	43.7	14.5	60.3	19.3	-	-	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	42.3	14.4	39.7	19.3	-	-	S	s	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear									
Not at all	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	s	S	-	-	-	-	S	s	S	s
Very	28.8	12.3	52.8	20.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	41.0	14.3	S	s	S	S	S	s	-	-

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	Т	otal		raduate ,875)	Profe	uate or ssional .,503)		raduate ,328)	Profe	uate or ssional .,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Madison Police Department	11.8	2.6	9.2	3.2	20.3	7.3	s	s	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	34.1	13.0	66.0	22.1	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	58.6	13.4	S	s	62.9	24.4	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Schoo	Year		1		1				1	
Not at all	S	S	-	-	s	S	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	24.8	12.7	s	s	s	S	S	s	-	-
Very	42.2	13.2	58.9	25.5	s	S	-	-	-	-
Extremely	S	s	S	s	-	-	S	s	-	-

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,875)	Profe	uate or ssional ,503)		raduate 2,328)	Profe	uate or ssional I,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Non- or Off-Campus Agency	12.0	2.5	9.9	3.2	16.5	6.4	S	s	s	s
Most recent contact	'									
Fall of 2014 - present	74.2	9.9	68.4	17.7	58.9	22.4	S	S	S	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	S	s	S	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	S	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Scho	ol Year		1							
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	31.4	10.3	s	s	100	0.0	-	-	-	-
Very	55.4	12.6	62.7	23.3	-	-	S	s	S	S
Extremely	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	т	otal		graduate 8,875)	Profe	uate or ssional ,503)		raduate ,328)	Profe	uate or ssional ,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted UHS Clinical Medicine	2.8	1.2	4.1	2.1	s	S	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	75.8	24.3	S	s	s	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Sch	ool Year		1							
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	s	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	s	S	-	-	s	S	-	-	-	-
Extremely	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n:	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,875)	Profe	iate or ssional ,503)		raduate ,328)	Profe	uate or ssional ,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted UHS End Violence on Campus	4.6	1.5	5.9	2.5	s	S	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	100	_	100	_	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	'ear	1	1		1			,	1	1
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	79.6	19.5	71.7	28.5	S	s	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	Т	otal		raduate ,875)	Profe	iate or ssional ,503)		raduate ,328)	Profe	uate or ssional ,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted UHS Mental Health Services	51.0	4.7	48.3	5.8	56.1	9.1	39.7	14.3	66.3	17.0
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	42.5	5.5	38.5	8.0	42.2	14.6	69.4	30.2	S	S
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	22.6	4.6	32.7	8.4	16.2	8.0	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	20.7	5.1	19.8	7.6	s	s	S	S	S	S
Prior to Fall of 2012	14.2	4.2	9.0	4.3	28.5	10.0	-	-	S	s
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year		1							
Not at all	8.7	3.5	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-
A little	16.3	4.8	21.0	7.0	S	s	s	s	S	S
Somewhat	25.5	6.2	25.9	7.1	s	S	s	s	S	s
Very	33.6	6.7	26.5	8.0	33.1	11.7	s	s	63.8	24.8
Extremely	16.0	5.0	18.7	8.2	27.4	12.7	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,875)	Profe	ate or ssional ,503)		raduate ,328)	Profe	uate or ssional .,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted University faculty, TA, or staff	8.3	2.7	11.0	4.5	10.9	5.9	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact	-									
Fall of 2014 - present	31.6	10.7	42.9	10.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	26.1	14.9	S	s	S	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	30.0	13.3	S	s	s	S	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School \	'ear		1							
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	s	S	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	26.3	15.8	-	-	100	0.0	-	-	-	-
Extremely	45.5	22.9	61.7	29.7	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	т	otal		graduate 8,875)	Profe	uate or ssional ,,503)	Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,183)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Did not contact any programs				1				'		
Reason										
Did not know where to go or who to tell	6.3	1.1	6.3	1.4	10.6	3.0	6.7	2.5	S	s
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	16.3	1.2	17.7	2.0	33.6	4.9	11.5	2.4	10.4	3.2
I did not think anyone would believe me	4.8	0.9	4.9	1.3	6.0	2.4	5.9	1.9	S	s
I did not think it was serious enough to report	61.1	2.2	63.1	2.9	44.8	4.1	65.3	4.4	61.9	5.7
I did not want the person to get into trouble	19.0	1.7	21.3	2.2	26.7	4.2	14.0	3.8	19.5	4.6
I feared negative social consequences	13.8	1.6	14.4	2.1	17.4	3.8	11.2	3.0	10.9	3.7
I did not think anything would be done	13.2	1.2	11.6	1.8	21.1	4.3	14.9	2.7	6.9	2.8
I feared it would not be kept confidential	8.1	1.4	9.0	1.9	14.0	4.0	5.8	2.3	4.2	2.2
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	33.9	2.0	30.5	2.4	43.3	5.0	31.7	3.8	42.7	6.6
Incident did not occur while attending school	4.9	1.2	3.7	1.0	8.1	2.8	6.0	2.5	S	S
Other	18.1	1.4	18.1	2.0	12.5	3.6	19.2	3.2	22.1	5.1

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Statusand Characteristics of Stalking^{1,2}

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,875)	Profe	iate or ssional ,503)		raduate ,328)	Profe	iate or ssional ,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Stalking	4.3	0.2	6.2	0.4	8.0	0.7	2.0	0.2	1.7	0.3
Unwanted calls, emails, messages, pictures, video on social networking that caused fear for personal safety	2.0	0.1	2.7	0.3	4.2	0.6	1.0	0.2	0.9	0.2
Showed up somewhere or waited for student in manner that caused fear for personal safety	2.2	0.2	3.5	0.3	3.9	0.5	0.6	0.1	0.7	0.2
Spied on, watched, or followed in manner that caused fear for personal safety	1.4	0.1	1.6	0.2	3.2	0.5	0.8	0.2	0.5	0.2
Percent of Victims of Stalking					1		1	•	1	
Number of incidents since beginning	of 201	4 term								
0 times	28.2	2.4	25.4	2.9	38.3	4.3	24.6	6.7	31.1	10.0
1 time	12.5	1.6	11.8	1.9	13.6	3.3	14.6	4.6	s	s
2 times	23.3	1.9	24.2	2.5	20.1	3.7	19.1	6.0	29.6	10.4
3-5 times	25.9	1.8	29.6	2.3	14.6	2.9	33.2	6.5	26.2	9.6
6-9 times	4.1	1.0	3.4	1.1	6.5	2.8	-	-	s	S
10 or more times	5.9	1.1	5.6	1.3	7.0	2.2	8.5	3.3	-	-
Association with university	1						1			
Student	54.9	2.3	63.7	2.8	40.1	4.5	55.7	8.3	49.7	11.5
Faculty	5.5	1.2	2.1	0.9	8.9	2.7	6.2	3.1	s	s
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-
Other staff or administrator	3.8	1.4	S	S	4.6	2.4	8.6	4.8	20.3	9.9
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	4.2	1.2	3.0	1.0	S	s	S	S	22.3	10.5
The person was not affiliated with university	15.4	1.8	16.8	2.3	13.3	3.5	17.6	5.2	S	S
Don't know association with university	34.4	1.9	30.7	2.3	42.1	4.5	39.0	7.1	19.5	8.4

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Statusand Characteristics of Stalking^{1,2} (continued)

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	Т	ן Total		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		iate or ssional ,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim										
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	8.5	1.4	7.0	1.7	5.3	2.1	16.7	6.5	17.2	8.6
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	23.9	2.0	25.1	2.6	28.5	4.1	19.8	6.6	S	S
Teacher or advisor	3.4	0.9	S	S	4.1	1.8	7.4	3.3	S	s
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	4.3	1.0	2.1	0.9	11.1	2.7	-	-	S	s
Friend or acquaintance	40.3	2.4	44.4	3.4	28.7	4.4	47.3	8.0	36.6	11.2
Stranger	33.8	2.1	35.1	2.9	27.9	4.7	36.2	7.0	46.7	11.6
Other	10.0	1.7	6.9	1.9	11.8	3.9	17.3	5.9	S	s
Don't Know	2.3	0.8	S	S	2.9	1.5	9.3	4.2	-	-

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Statusand Characteristics of Stalking^{1,2} (continued)

		TGQN (TGQN (n=83)			
Survey Item		graduate =44)	Graduate Professior (n=39)			
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Percent of Students Reporting Stalking	8.1	4.4	7.4	3.6		
Unwanted calls, emails, messages, pictures, video on social networking that caused fear for personal safety	S	S	-	-		
Showed up somewhere or waited for student in manner that caused fear for personal safety	S	S	S	S		
Spied on, watched, or followed in manner that caused fear for personal safety	S	S	S	S		
Percent of Victims of Stalking						
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term						
0 times	-	-	-	-		
1 time	S	S	-	-		
2 times	S	S	s	S		
3-5 times	-	-	-	-		
6-9 times	-	-	S	S		
10 or more times	-	-	-	-		
Association with university						
Student	-	-	-	-		
Faculty	s	S	-	-		
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	-	-	-	-		
Other staff or administrator	-	-	-	-		
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	-	-		
The person was not affiliated with university	-	-	s	S		
Don't know association with university	S	S	s	S		

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking^{1,2} (continued)

		TGQN (n	=83)	
Survey Item		raduate 44)	Profes	ate or ssional 39)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	-	-	-	-
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	-	-	-	-
Teacher or advisor	S	S	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	-	-	-	-
Friend or acquaintance	S	S	S	S
Stranger	-	-	S	S
Other	-	-	S	S
Don't Know	-	-	-	-

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college. ²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

				Female (n	=5,378)		Male (n=3,511)					
Survey Item	Survey Item Response % StdFrr			raduate ,875)					Profe	uate or ssional .,183)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Reporting to Others												
Friend	86.8	1.8	92.5	1.7	84.3	3.6	78.0	6.3	72.8	10.9		
Family member	45.6	3.0	49.5	4.1	49.3	4.3	32.5	7.6	31.2	10.3		
Faculty	7.7	1.3	5.2	1.4	15.1	3.5	S	s	S	S		
Someone else	12.0	1.5	10.5	1.7	15.9	3.1	12.3	4.7	S	S		
I didn't tell anyone else	8.9	1.5	4.4	1.2	4.2	1.7	23.1	6.4	27.2	10.9		

				Female (n=	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	т	otal		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		uate or ssional ,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to a Program										
Contacted at least one program in university list	32.1	2.2	32.1	3.3	41.1	4.4	17.6	5.3	32.8	10.7
						·				
Contacted Dean of Students Office	29.1	4.0	28.9	5.5	32.4	7.6	S	s	S	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	51.1	6.6	46.0	10.1	63.3	12.1	S	s	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	23.6	7.4	33.6	11.9	16.1	8.8	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	19.0	5.5	14.2	7.1	20.6	9.9	S	S	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	S	s
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear				1					
Not at all	21.2	6.0	20.6	8.9	29.0	11.7	-	-	-	-
A little	23.8	6.3	13.7	6.7	34.7	15.7	s	s	-	-
Somewhat	11.7	5.3	S	s	26.4	10.9	-	-	-	-
Very	30.9	7.0	38.1	10.6	S	S	S	s	s	s
Extremely	12.3	5.4	23.2	10.0	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n:	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item		otal	(n=3	raduate ,875)	Profe (n=1	uate or ssional ,503)	Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profes (n=1	ate or sional ,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Office for Equity and Diversity/Title IX Coordinator	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	100	_	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Ye	ear									
Not at all	100	_	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n:	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,875)	Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profes	uate or ssional .,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Housefellow or Residence Life Staff	22.1	3.7	30.2	5.6	9.0	3.9	S	S	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	28.7	8.1	29.5	10.4	S	s	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	44.6	11.7	51.6	13.0	-	-	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	20.1	7.0	S	S	68.9	30.9	-	-	S	S
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Yo	ear							_		
Not at all	19.4	8.2	16.9	9.0	-	-	S	s	S	S
A little	7.3	4.0	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	22.9	7.3	31.4	9.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	32.8	9.9	26.9	10.4	s	s	S	s	-	-
Extremely	17.6	6.9	18.7	8.3	s	S	-	-	-	-

				Female (n:	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,875)	Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profes	uate or ssional ,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted University of Wisconsin-Madison Police Department	21.5	4.1	29.7	6.1	9.2	3.9	S	S	S	S
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	44.0	9.0	48.6	10.8	S	s	-	-	S	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	40.6	9.8	36.0	12.8	79.5	21.3	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	S	S
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear									
Not at all	13.8	8.9	S	s	s	s	-	-	s	s
A little	21.6	6.5	15.2	7.1	S	s	S	s	-	-
Somewhat	s	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	26.4	8.1	28.4	8.5	-	-	-	-	S	S
Extremely	30.6	8.3	37.9	8.9	S	S	-	-	-	-

				Female (n=	=5,378)		Male (n=3,511)			
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,875)	Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profes	ate or ssional ,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Madison Police Department	23.2	3.8	29.9	5.2	7.9	4.1	S	S	S	S
Most recent contact					·			·		
Fall of 2014 - present	50.5	9.4	56.8	9.9	-	-	S	S	S	S
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	30.2	9.8	28.8	10.1	S	s	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	19.3	7.5	14.4	7.3	S	S	-	-	S	S
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear				1				1	
Not at all	26.5	8.6	26.6	9.3	-	-	-	-	S	S
A little	23.6	7.0	27.9	8.5	S	S	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	14.9	6.9	15.4	8.4	-	-	S	s	-	-
Very	29.5	9.3	25.0	9.1	S	S	S	s	S	S
Extremely	s	S	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-

				Female (n=	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profes	uate or ssional .,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Non- or Off-Campus Agency	8.8	2.3	9.7	3.1	7.9	4.0	-	-	S	S
Most recent contact						· · · · · ·				
Fall of 2014 - present	60.6	14.3	72.2	17.6	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	S	-	-	S	s	-	-	S	s
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School \	'ear				1				1	
Not at all	S	S	-	-	S	s	-	-	-	-
A little	24.0	14.4	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	30.4	14.4	51.9	18.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	34.0	14.8	36.0	17.7	-	-	-	-	S	s

				Female (n	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)	
Survey Item	Т	otal	Undergraduate (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profe	uate or ssional .,183)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted UHS Clinical Medicine	2.1	1.1	S	s	s	S	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact			·							
Fall of 2014 - present	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	s	S	s	S	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Schoo	l Year		1							
Not at all	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	S	s	S	s	S	s	-	-	-	-

				Female (n:	=5,378)			Male (n=	3,511)		
Survey Item	т	otal		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		uate or ssional ,,503)	Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profes	uate or ssional ,183)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted UHS End Violence on Campus	3.8	1.5	5.9	2.7	S	s	-	-	-	-	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	69.1	29.4	63.3	35.7	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear		1							1	
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Somewhat	s	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Extremely	83.8	16.5	80.8	20.7	S	s	-	-	-	-	

		Female (n=5,378)						Male (n=3,511)					
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Profes	uate or ssional ,183)			
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr			
Contacted UHS Mental Health Services	26.1	4.0	25.1	4.9	15.9	5.4	50.8	19.7	47.5	21.7			
Most recent contact										,			
Fall of 2014 - present	55.1	7.5	56.6	10.9	47.0	18.5	S	s	S	S			
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	14.4	5.8	15.7	8.0	S	S	S	s	-	-			
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	17.3	6.2	20.7	9.5	S	s	-	-	S	s			
Prior to Fall of 2012	13.2	5.7	S	S	S	s	-	-	S	s			
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	/ear		1										
Not at all	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S			
A little	25.9	7.3	27.3	10.3	43.7	23.5	-	-	S	s			
Somewhat	12.2	5.5	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-			
Very	50.6	9.1	58.1	12.3	S	S	100	0.0	S	S			
Extremely	S	S	-	-	S	s	-	-	-	-			

				Female (n	=5,378)		Male (n=3,511)				
Survey Item		Total		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		uate or ssional .,183)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted University faculty, TA, or staff	23.8	3.2	17.0	4.1	38.5	7.3	S	S	S	S	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	44.8	9.2	51.5	13.3	38.6	12.1	S	S	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	23.0	6.7	20.2	9.8	29.5	12.1	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	21.7	6.5	S	s	19.4	9.8	-	-	S	s	
Prior to Fall of 2012	10.5	7.0	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear				1				1		
Not at all	17.2	6.6	S	S	25.9	10.8	-	-	-	-	
A little	14.7	5.9	S	s	S	S	-	-	S	s	
Somewhat	23.8	6.5	S	s	32.2	10.6	-	-	-	-	
Very	27.8	7.0	27.4	11.2	23.6	9.4	S	S	-	-	
Extremely	16.5	5.6	27.1	11.3	s	S	-	-	-	-	

				Female (n	=5,378)		Male (n=3,511)				
<i>Survey Item</i> Response	Total		Undergraduate (n=3,875)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,503)		Undergraduate (n=2,328)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,183)		
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Did not contact any programs				1							
Reason											
Did not know where to go or who to tell	13.4	2.3	11.9	2.8	17.6	5.7	15.3	6.6	S	S	
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	14.0	2.0	15.1	3.0	8.4	3.0	16.2	6.1	S	S	
I did not think anyone would believe me	6.2	1.6	7.2	2.0	8.3	4.2	S	S	-	-	
I did not think it was serious enough to report	59.2	2.7	64.3	3.1	61.6	5.4	42.9	8.5	64.5	14.3	
I did not want the person to get into trouble	14.0	2.2	19.1	2.9	8.0	2.8	9.9	5.0	S	S	
I feared negative social consequences	14.1	2.6	12.2	2.5	10.8	4.1	17.7	6.6	S	S	
I did not think anything would be done	31.8	2.8	28.1	3.6	31.4	6.5	43.1	7.7	43.2	15.3	
I feared it would not be kept confidential	11.7	2.1	10.5	2.1	16.5	4.8	9.8	4.8	-	-	
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	23.3	2.7	23.4	3.4	33.7	6.3	17.0	6.0	-	-	
Incident did not occur while attending school	3.4	1.0	3.7	1.3	6.8	2.9	-	-	-	-	
Other	16.0	2.1	16.3	2.6	9.7	3.4	22.0	7.1	23.4	11.7	

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.4. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment, Intimate Partner Violence orStalking by Type of Incident, Gender and Enrollment Status of Victim^{1, 2}

				Female (5,378)		Male (3,511)				
	т	Total		Undergraduate (3,875)		Graduate or Professional (1,503)		Undergraduate (2,328)		Graduate or Professional (1,183)	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Harassment											
Sexual orientation											
Heterosexual	50.4	0.5	64.5	0.8	51.3	1.2	42.7	1.1	31.8	1.2	
Non-Heterosexual	66.6	1.3	82.3	2.3	67.5	3.2	56.7	3.3	47.3	4.4	
Ethnicity					1				1		
Hispanic	53.0	2.6	62.4	3.5	58.7	4.9	47.2	5.8	40.0	5.4	
Not Hispanic	51.7	0.5	65.8	0.8	52.8	1.1	43.6	1.1	32.7	1.3	
Race								1			
American Indian or Alaska Native	63.9	3.5	76.1	5.9	66.7	7.5	51.8	8.3	62.2	9.6	
Asian	37.8	1.2	54.9	2.2	36.8	2.7	37.6	2.6	16.5	2.4	
Black or African American	53.5	3.7	66.2	5.4	55.2	6.3	44.5	6.2	38.0	9.3	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	54.8	8.2	84.7	8.8	S	s	51.7	15.4	S	s	
White	54.7	0.5	67.5	0.8	58.0	1.1	45.3	1.0	38.0	1.4	
Intimate partner violence Sexual orientation			·		1				1		
Heterosexual	8.4	0.3	9.7	0.6	7.1	0.8	8.2	0.6	6.6	0.8	
Non-Heterosexual	18.6	1.3	25.1	2.7	14.4	2.3	16.3	3.1	15.8	3.4	
Ethnicity											
Hispanic	13.7	1.9	13.0	3.1	15.7	4.1	16.4	4.5	9.0	2.9	
Not Hispanic	9.0	0.3	10.8	0.6	7.5	0.7	8.4	0.6	7.2	0.8	
Race					1				1		
American Indian or Alaska Native	18.0	2.7	18.3	4.9	14.5	5.1	16.5	6.2	19.6	8.6	
Asian	6.0	0.7	8.7	1.4	4.2	1.2	5.6	1.6	4.6	1.8	
Black or African American	14.1	2.6	8.4	3.3	9.6	4.0	14.3	5.1	22.6	8.7	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	12.8	6.0	26.7	12.7	-	-	-	-	S	s	
White	9.5	0.3	11.0	0.6	8.9	0.8	8.7	0.6	7.3	0.8	

Table 5.4. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment, Intimate Partner Violence orStalking by Type of Incident, Gender and Enrollment Status of Victim^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (5,378)		Male (3,511)					
	Т	Total				Undergraduate (3,875)		Graduate or Professional (1,503)		Undergraduate (2,328)		uate or ssional 183)
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Stalking												
Sexual orientation												
Heterosexual	3.8	0.2	5.7	0.4	7.7	0.8	1.5	0.2	1.2	0.3		
Non-Heterosexual	9.0	0.8	12.5	1.8	8.9	2.2	7.4	1.8	5.2	2.1		
Ethnicity					1				1			
Hispanic	4.2	0.8	7.0	1.9	9.5	2.9	S	S	-	-		
Not Hispanic	4.4	0.2	6.2	0.4	7.9	0.7	2.0	0.2	1.8	0.3		
Race					1							
American Indian or Alaska Native	10.9	2.0	13.1	4.1	28.8	7.2	s	s	-	-		
Asian	5.0	0.6	7.8	1.3	9.9	1.6	1.9	0.7	2.0	0.7		
Black or African American	5.9	1.3	6.8	2.6	12.4	4.0	S	s	s	s		
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-		
White	4.1	0.2	5.9	0.4	7.5	0.7	1.8	0.3	1.7	0.4		

¹Per 100 students.

²Estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.